

Geometric inflexibility and 3-manifolds that fiber over the circle

J. Brock* and K. Bromberg†

January 26, 2009

Abstract

We prove hyperbolic 3-manifolds are geometrically inflexible: a unit quasiconformal deformation of a Kleinian group extends to an equivariant bi-Lipschitz diffeomorphism between quotients whose pointwise bi-Lipschitz constant decays exponentially in the distance from the boundary of the convex core for points in the thick part. Estimates at points in the thin part are controlled by similar estimates on the complex lengths of short curves. We use this inflexibility to give a new proof of the convergence of pseudo-Anosov double-iteration on the quasi-Fuchsian space of a closed surface, and the resulting hyperbolization theorem for closed 3-manifolds that fiber over the circle with pseudo-Anosov monodromy.

1 Introduction

In the study of hyperbolic structures on 3-manifolds, the rigidity theorems of Mostow and Sullivan allow for coarse methods to play a key role in the classification of structures up to isometry: it suffices to exhibit a uniformly bi-Lipschitz map between two hyperbolic 3-manifolds with the same asymptotic data to conclude they are in fact isometric.

A general theme in work of Thurston has been the notion of limiting to rigidity, wherein a family of hyperbolic structures has a quasiconformally rigid limit. Such discussions suggest a qualitative notion of *inflexibility* for manifolds far out in the sequence: a unit quasiconformal deformation at infinity has exponentially deteriorating effect at the basepoint as the geometry freezes around it.

This qualitative notion was made more precise for manifolds with injectivity radius bounds by McMullen (see [Mc3]), but the assumption of injectivity bounds is very restrictive. Though a uniform upper bound on the injectivity radius follows from tameness (now known for arbitrarily M with finitely generated π_1 [Ag, CG]), the lower bound is non-generic [Mc2, CCHS, CH]. In this

*Research supported by the John S. Guggenheim foundation and by the NSF.

†Research supported by the Alfred P. Sloan Foundation and by the NSF.

paper we prove an exponential decay theorem for the L^2 -norm of a harmonic deformation a hyperbolic 3-manifold. This allows us to prove inflexibility theorems for arbitrary hyperbolic 3-manifolds. Here is a sample theorem which generalizes McMullen's result.

Theorem 1.1 (GEOMETRIC INFLEXIBILITY) *Given a hyperbolic 3-manifold M , a K -bi-Lipschitz diffeomorphic hyperbolic 3-manifold M' , and an $\epsilon > 0$, there is a diffeomorphism $\Phi: M \rightarrow M'$ whose bi-Lipschitz distortion in the ϵ -thick part of the convex core $C(M)$ decays exponentially with the distance from $\partial C(M)$ with rate of decay depending only on ϵ , K and the topology of ∂M .*

See Theorem 5.6 for a more precise version.

Although Theorem 1.1 does not give estimates on the bi-Lipschitz constant in the thin part, this is to be expected. Indeed, there are harmonic deformations whose distortion within a Margulis tube is roughly constant over the tube and does not decay in the depth into the tube – the pointwise bounds on the distortion (the *strain*) are determined by its behavior on the boundary of the tube. In this sense, Theorem 1.1 is sharp, and in fact optimal, in that we can only expect at best exponential decay of the bi-Lipschitz constant in the thick part.

On the other hand, the proof of Theorem 1.1 is quite robust and applies to a variety of other situations. For example we can control the ratio of the change in length of moderate length geodesics by constants that exponentially decay in the depth of the geodesic in the convex core. We obtain similar control over short geodesics by measuring the depth of their entire Margulis tubes. Our methods also apply to deformations of hyperbolic cone-manifolds where the depth is measured by distance from the singular locus. For both smooth, complete hyperbolic manifolds and for cone-manifolds, the Schwarzian derivative can be similarly controlled at components of the conformal boundary that are fixed under the deformation.

We emphasize that while McMullen's inflexibility theorem is ultimately a consequence of the compactness of hyperbolic 3-manifolds with injectivity radius bounds and basepoints in the convex core, our arguments harness explicit analytic estimates on the pointwise L^2 -norm of the deformation to obtain sharp estimates on the bi-Lipschitz distortion of a deformation at infinity.

Convergence results. Inflexibility provides for new approaches and techniques in the theory of Kleinian groups. To outline these results, we briefly recall notions from their deformation theory.

Given a closed surface S of negative Euler-characteristic the Teichmüller space, $\text{Teich}(S)$, parametrizes pairs (f, X) of marked hyperbolic surfaces

$$f: S \rightarrow X,$$

where f is a homeomorphism up to marking preserving isometry. The modular group $\text{Mod}(S)$ of isotopy classes of orientation preserving self homeomorphisms of S acts naturally on $\text{Teich}(S)$ by $\varphi(f, X) = (f \circ \varphi^{-1}, X)$. A mapping class

is *pseudo-Anosov* if for each essential isotopy class of simple closed curves γ we have $\varphi^n(\gamma) \not\cong \gamma$ for $n \neq 0$.

L. Bers proved that for each pair $(X, Y) \in \text{Teich}(S) \times \text{Teich}(S)$ there is a unique *quasi-Fuchsian simultaneous uniformization*, namely, a single Kleinian group $\Gamma \cong \pi_1(S)$ for which Γ leaves invariant a directed Jordan curve Λ in $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ with the property that $\widehat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \Lambda = \Omega_X \sqcup \Omega_Y$, where $\Omega_X/\Gamma = X$ and $\Omega_Y/\Gamma = Y$ (see [Brs1]).

As a tool in the deformation theory of Kleinian groups, Theorem 1.1 guarantees convergence in certain cases where the depth in the convex core at the basepoint diverges quickly enough. In particular, Theorem 1.1 gives a new proof of Thurston's double limit theorem for pseudo-Anosov iteration, the main step in the hyperbolization for 3-manifolds that fiber over the circle with pseudo-Anosov monodromy (see [Th2, Ot, Mc3]).

Theorem 1.2 (PSEUDO-ANOSOV DOUBLE LIMITS) *For each X and Y in the Teichmüller space $\text{Teich}(S)$, and each pseudo-Anosov mapping class $\psi \in \text{Mod}(S)$, the double iteration $Q(\psi^{-n}(X), \psi^n(Y))$ converges algebraically and geometrically to a limit $Q_\infty \in \text{AH}(S)$.*

See Theorem 9.3. Note that the convergence *up to subsequence* was proven earlier by Thurston (see [Th2]). Convergence was later proven in [CT]; McMullen gave a more explicit treatment in [Mc3]. Note that in our result the quasi-conformal rigidity of the limit is a direct consequence of the geometric inflexibility theorem.

Because for each n the manifold $Q_n = Q(\psi^{-n}(X), \psi^n(Y))$ admits a uniformly bi-Lipschitz diffeomorphism Ψ_n in the homotopy class of ψ , we may apply the inflexibility theorem to obtain an isometry $\Psi: Q_\infty \rightarrow Q_\infty$ in the homotopy class of ψ . The quotient $Q_\infty/\langle \Psi \rangle$ is a hyperbolic 3-manifold with the homotopy type of T_ψ , which is thus homeomorphic to T_ψ by a theorem of Stallings. We arrive at Thurston's original theorem.

Theorem 1.3 (Thurston) (MAPPING TORUS HYPERBOLIC) *Let $\psi \in \text{Mod}(S)$ be pseudo-Anosov. Then the mapping torus $T_\psi = S \times [0, 1]/(x, 0) \sim (\psi(x), 1)$ admits a complete hyperbolic structure.*

Curve complex distance and convex core width. To describe how Theorem 1.2 follows from Theorem 1.1, we remark that one key step is show linear growth of the width of the convex core in terms of the iterate of the pseudo-Anosov applied to each factor. As the width of the core grows, the geometric effect of the next iterate decays at the basepoint exponentially fast, and convergence follows.

To show the growth in width is linear, however, the combinatorial properties of curves on surfaces play a crucial role. The collection of isotopy classes $\mathcal{S}$ of essential simple closed curves on S can be encoded as a graph $\mathcal{C}(S)$ with vertices corresponding to elements of $\mathcal{S}$ and edges joining vertices if their corresponding classes can be represented by disjoint curves on S . This graph has the structure

of a δ -hyperbolic metric space if each edge is assigned length 1 [MM]. Though $\mathcal{C}(S)$ can be given the structure of a complex by associating k -simplices to $k+1$ -tuples of vertices whose representatives can be realized disjointly, these higher dimensional simplices do not play a role here.

Among the many reflections of the combinatorics of $\mathcal{C}(S)$ in the geometry of hyperbolic 3-manifolds, the *width* of the convex core of a quasi-Fuchsian manifold is an important new example. We show the following.

Corollary 1.4 (WIDE CORES) *Given a closed surface S , there is linear function f such that the distance between the boundary components of the convex core $C(Q(X, Y))$ of a quasi-Fuchsian manifold $Q(X, Y)$ in $QF(S)$ is bounded below by $f(d_{\mathcal{C}}(X, Y))$.*

(See Corollary 8.18). Here, the distance $d_{\mathcal{C}}(X, Y)$ is shorthand: if S has genus g , there is a uniform $L_g > 0$ so that for each $X \in \text{Teich}(S)$ has a the length of the shortest essential closed loop on X is bounded by L_g . Furthermore, any two shortest loops have uniformly bounded intersection, by the collar lemma. It follows that there is a coarsely defined map from $\text{Teich}(S)$ to the complex of curves, that sends each X to the collection of vertices whose simple closed curves have length less than L_g on X . Then $d_{\mathcal{C}}(X, Y)$ measures the maximal distance in $\mathcal{C}(S)$ between shortest curves on X and on Y .

Since the action of pseudo-Anosov iteration has linear growth in the curve complex, it follows that the width of the convex core of the double pseudo-Anosov iteration

$$Q(\psi^{-n}(X), \psi^n(Y))$$

is linear in n . Combining these estimates on core width with Theorem 1.1, Geometric Inflexibility, we obtain Thurston's original result.

It should be noted, however, that Theorem 1.2 is a convergence theorem rather than a compactness theorem. In particular, the rigidity of the limit is implicit in the proof. As such, where Thurston's original proof appealed to Sullivan's rigidity theorem after showing the limit has limit set all of $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$, the existence of a hyperbolic structure on the mapping torus for ψ here is self-contained.

We remark that the linear growth in the width of the convex core with distance between the bounded length curves on its boundary in $\mathcal{C}(S)$ is not specific to pseudo-Anosov deformations. In particular, the methods of Theorem 1.2 extend immediately to apply to sequences $\{Q(X_n, Y_n)\}_n$ of quasi-Fuchsian manifolds for which we have the bounds $d_T(X_n, X_{n+1}) \leq K$ and $d_T(Y_n, Y_{n+1}) \leq K$, and the curve complex distance $d_{\mathcal{C}}(X_n, Y_n)$ grows linearly with n .

Ending laminations and efficient approximations. We remark that a key further application of Theorem 1.1 will be a new approach to the ending lamination conjecture via efficient approximations by maximal cusps. In short, Minsky's a priori bounds guarantees that for any hyperbolic 3-manifold M in a Bers slice B_Y with the ending lamination λ there is a canonical sequence of pants decompositions $P_n \rightarrow \lambda$ that arises with uniformly bounded length $\ell_M(P_n) < L$ in M .

By an application of the grafting technique of [Brm1, BB] together with a covering argument as in [BS] we may, in effect, drill P_n out of M to obtain a maximal cusp $C_n \in B_Y$, by a deformation that has a bounded effect on the geometry in a compact core $\mathcal{M} \subset M$. By the inflexibility theorem, the effect of this process on the geometry of $\mathcal{M}$ decays with the distance of the geodesic representatives of the curves in P_n from $\mathcal{M}$. It follows that the sequence C_n converges back to M . Since P_n depend only on λ , the lamination λ determines M . We take up this approach in [BBES].

Plan of the paper. A significant component of the paper involves the study of harmonic deformations of hyperbolic 3-manifolds. In particular, estimates relating the decay of the norm of the strain field induced by a deformation to the depth in the convex core have been absent from prior treatments. The second portion of the paper develops geometric limit arguments vis a vis the complex of curves. The paper concludes with our proof of the convergence of pseudo-Anosov iteration and double-iteration on quasi-Fuchsian space, exhibiting explicitly the hyperbolic structure on the pseudo-Anosov mapping torus T_ψ .

Acknowledgements. The authors gratefully acknowledge the support of the National Science Foundation. The first author thanks Guggenheim Foundation and the second thanks the Sloan Foundation for their support. We thank MSRI for their hospitality while this work was being completed.

2 Deformations

Let M be a 3-manifold and g_t a one-parameter family of hyperbolic metrics on M with D_t the covariant derivative for the Riemannian connection for g_t . At time $t = 0$ we let $g = g_0$ and $D = D_0$. We define the time zero derivative, η , of g_t by the formula

$$\frac{dg_t(v, w)}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} = 2g(\eta(v), w).$$

Then η is a symmetric tensor of type $(1, 1)$. We define the pointwise norm of η at p by choosing an orthonormal basis $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$ for $T_p M$ in the g -metric and setting

$$\|\eta\|^2 = \sum_i g(\eta(e_i), \eta(e_i)).$$

Note that this L^2 -norm bounds the sup norm so that we have

$$\|\eta(v)\| \leq \|\eta\| \|v\|.$$

If η_t is the time t derivative of g_t and $\|\eta_t\| \leq K$ for all $t \in [0, T]$ then by integrating we see that

$$e^{-2KT} g(v, v) \leq g_T(v, v) \leq e^{2KT} g(v, v).$$

In particular, the identity map on M is a e^{KT} -bi-Lipschitz map from (M, g) to (M, g_T) .

We can also use η to bound the change in the complex length of geodesics. Let γ be an essential closed curve in M and let $\mathcal{L}_\gamma(t) = \ell_\gamma(t) + i\theta_\gamma(t)$ be the complex length of the holonomy of γ in the g_t -metric. The following proposition is a combination of Proposition 4.3 and Lemma 4.6 in [Brm2].

Proposition 2.1 *Let the harmonic strain field η be the time zero derivative of a family of hyperbolic metrics $M_t = (M, g_t)$. Let γ be an essential simple closed curve in M and $\mathcal{L}_\gamma(t) = \ell_\gamma(t) + i\theta_\gamma(t)$ its complex length in M_t . Let γ^* be the geodesic representative of γ in M_0 .*

1. *If the pointwise norms of η and $D\eta$ are bounded by K on γ^* then*

$$|\mathcal{L}'_\gamma(0)| \leq \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} K \ell_\gamma(0).$$

2. *If γ^* has a tubular neighborhood U of radius R then*

$$\int_U \|\eta\|^2 + \|D\eta\|^2 \geq \left(\frac{|\mathcal{L}'_\gamma(t)|}{2\ell_\gamma(t)} \right)^2 \left(\frac{\sinh R}{\cosh R} \right) \left(2 + \frac{1}{\cosh^2 R} \right) \text{area } \partial U.$$

When the derivative η is a *harmonic strain field* there are a number of formulas that are very useful in controlling the norm of η . Before stating these formulas we define harmonic. Given a family of hyperbolic metrics (M, g_t) around each point we can find a one-parameter family of $\mathbb{H}^3$ -charts (U, ϕ_t) for the hyperbolic structure induced by the g_t -metric. These charts can be viewed as a flow on a neighborhood in $\mathbb{H}^3$. Let v be the vector field on U that is the pullback of the time zero derivative of this flow. We then observe $\text{sym } Dv = \eta$. This follows from the fact that for vector fields u and w on M the derivative $\frac{dg_t(u, w)}{dt} \big|_{t=0}$ is exactly the Lie derivative of $g(u, w)$ along the vector field v .

The trace of $\text{sym } Dv$ is the divergence of v and it measures the infinitesimal change in volume. The traceless part, $\text{sym}_0 Dv$, is the *strain* of v and it measures the infinitesimal change in the conformal structure. The vector field v is harmonic if

$$D^* Dv + 2v = 0.$$

Here D^* is the formal adjoint of D . The factor of 2 arises from the fact that the Ricci curvature of a hyperbolic manifold is -2 , and the normalization guarantees that infinitesimal isometries are harmonic. We see that a strain field η is harmonic if locally there is a divergence free and harmonic vector field v with $\eta = \text{sym } Dv$.

Finally we note that if η is a harmonic strain field then $*D\eta$ is also an harmonic strain field where $*$ is the Hodge star-operator (see Proposition 2.6 in [HK1]). While we are only really interested in controlling the size of η we will see throughout the paper that our formulas will also involve $*D\eta$ and we will also control its size along the way.

3 Infinitesimal inflexibility

The following formula is our key tool for calculating the L^2 -norm of a harmonic strain field. It is Proposition 1.3 of [HK1] along with the calculations on p. 36 of the same paper.

Proposition 3.1 (Hodgson-Kerkchoff) *Let M be a compact manifold with piecewise smooth boundary and η a harmonic strain field. Then*

$$\int_M \|\eta\|^2 + \|D\eta\|^2 = \int_{\partial M} *D\eta \wedge \eta.$$

The following inequality will allow us to control the boundary term in terms of point-wise bounds on the norms of η and $D\eta$.

Lemma 3.2 *We have $\|\eta\|^2 + \|D\eta\|^2 \geq 2\|*D\eta \wedge \eta\|$.*

Proof. The inequality follows from the fact that $\|\eta - *D\eta\|^2 \geq 0$. 3.2

The following lemma is the first step in showing that the formula from Proposition 3.1 holds on some non-compact manifolds if the strain field is bounded.

Lemma 3.3 *Let M be a complete hyperbolic 3-manifold that is exhausted by compact submanifolds M_n with the area of ∂M_n bounded above. If η is a harmonic strain field with the pointwise norms $\|\eta\|$ and $\|D\eta\|$ bounded above then the L^2 norm of η and $D\eta$ is finite.*

Proof. By Proposition 3.1

$$\int_{M_n} \|\eta\|^2 + \|D\eta\|^2 = \int_{\partial M_n} *D\eta \wedge \eta.$$

Since both the area of ∂M_n and the pointwise norms of η and $D\eta$ are bounded, Lemma 3.2 implies that the right hand side is bounded. This implies that the L^2 -norm on M is finite. 3.3

Let P_n be a finite $1/n$ -net on ∂M . Define

$$M(t) = \{p \in M \mid d(p, \partial M) \geq t\}$$

and

$$M_n(t) = \{p \in M \mid d(p, P_n) \geq t\}.$$

Lemma 3.4 *For all but an isolated set of $t > 1/n$, $M_n(t)$ is a manifold with piecewise smooth boundary.*

Proof. If the boundary of $M_n(t)$ is not a manifold with piecewise smooth boundary then there is a geodesic of length $2t$ in M with endpoints in P_n . The set of lengths of geodesics in M with endpoints in P_n is a discrete subset of $\mathbb{R}$ so $M_n(t)$ must be a manifold with piecewise smooth boundary for all but an isolated set of values for t . 3.4

Lemma 3.5 *Let M be a hyperbolic 3-manifold with piecewise smooth, compact boundary and let η be a harmonic strain field on M . If η and $D\eta$ have finite L^2 -norm on M then*

$$\int_M \|\eta\|^2 + \|D\eta\|^2 = \int_{\partial M} *D\eta \wedge \eta.$$

Proof. Fix a net P_n and a $T > 0$ such that the T -neighborhood of P_n contains ∂M and $M_n(T)$ is a manifold with piecewise smooth boundary. If we apply Proposition 3.1 to $M \setminus M_n(T)$ and rearrange terms we have

$$\int_M \|\eta\|^2 + \|D\eta\|^2 = \int_{\partial M} *D\eta \wedge \eta - \int_{\partial M_n(T)} *D\eta \wedge \eta + \int_{M_n(T)} \|\eta\|^2 + \|D\eta\|^2.$$

By Lemma 3.4 we can choose a sequence of $t_i \rightarrow \infty$ such that $M_n(t_i)$ is a manifold with piecewise smooth boundary. We now apply Proposition 3.1 again to see that

$$\int_{M_n(T)} \|\eta\|^2 + \|D\eta\|^2 = \int_{\partial M_n(T)} *D\eta \wedge \eta - \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\partial M_n(t_i)} *D\eta \wedge \eta.$$

The function

$$f(t) = \int_{\partial M_n(t)} (\|\eta\|^2 + \|D\eta\|^2) dA$$

is defined for all but a discrete set of t and therefore

$$\int_{M_n(T)} \|\eta\|^2 + \|D\eta\|^2 = \int_T^\infty f(t) dt.$$

Since the L^2 -norm of η and $D\eta$ is finite on $M_n(T)$ we have

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} f(t) = 0$$

and in particular $f(t_i) \rightarrow 0$. Lemma 3.2 then implies that

$$f(t_i) \geq 2 \left| \int_{\partial M_n(t_i)} *D\eta \wedge \eta \right|.$$

Therefore

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\partial M_n(t_i)} *D\eta \wedge \eta = 0$$

and

$$\int_{M_n(T)} \|\eta\|^2 + \|D\eta\|^2 = \int_{\partial M_n(T)} *D\eta \wedge \eta.$$

Combining this last equality with the first equality in the proof gives us the lemma. 3.5

Remark. Thurston [Th1] and Bonahon [Bon1] showed that Sullivan rigidity [Sul] follows more directly from tameness. Lemmas 3.3 and 3.5 give another perspective on Sullivan's result. In particular, if $M = \mathbb{H}^3/\Gamma$ is a complete hyperbolic 3-manifold then any Γ -invariant limit Beltrami differential extends continuously via an averaging process to a harmonic strain field η on M with the pointwise norms of η and $D\eta$ uniformly bounded. If M is tame, then the limit set of Γ has measure zero or is all of $\mathbb{C}$. In the former case, any Beltrami differential supported on the limit set is trivial. In the later case tameness also implies that M is exhausted by submanifolds whose boundary has uniformly bounded area and Lemma 3.3 implies that the L^2 -norms of η and $D\eta$ are finite on M . Since M has no boundary, Lemma 3.5 implies that $\eta = D\eta = 0$ and the initial Beltrami differential must be trivial.

The following theorem is the key analytic estimate that underlies all of our inflexibility theorems. It should be compared with Theorem 2.15 in [Mc3].

Theorem 3.6 *Let M be a hyperbolic 3-manifold with compact boundary and let η be a harmonic strain field on M . Then*

$$\int_{M(t)} \|\eta\|^2 + \|D\eta\|^2 \leq e^{-2t} \int_M \|\eta\|^2 + \|D\eta\|^2.$$

Proof. We can assume that η and $D\eta$ have finite L^2 -norm on M for otherwise the inequality is trivially true. We will show that

$$\int_{M_n(t)} \|\eta\|^2 + \|D\eta\|^2 \leq e^{-2(t-2/n)} \int_{M_n(2/n)} \|\eta\|^2 + \|D\eta\|^2. \quad (3.1)$$

Taking the limit of this inequality as $n \rightarrow \infty$ will imply the theorem.

Let

$$f(t) = \int_{M_n(t)} \|\eta\|^2 + \|D\eta\|^2.$$

By Lemma 3.4 we can write

$$f(T) = \int_T^\infty \int_{\partial M_n(t)} (\|\eta\|^2 + \|D\eta\|^2) dA dt$$

for $T > 1/n$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} -f'(t) &= \int_{\partial M_n(t)} (\|\eta\|^2 + \|D\eta\|^2) dA \\ &\geq 2 \int_{\partial M_n(t)} *D\eta \wedge \eta \\ &\geq 2f(t). \end{aligned}$$

Integrating both sides of this inequality from $2/n$ to infinity implies (3.1). 3.6

To go from L^2 -bounds on η to pointwise bounds we use the following mean value theorem of Hodgson and Kerckhoff. A proof can be found in [Brm2].

Theorem 3.7 *Let η be a harmonic strain field on a ball B of radius $R < \pi/2$ centered at a point p . Then*

$$\|\eta(p)\| \leq \frac{3\sqrt{2 \operatorname{vol} B}}{4\pi f(R)} \sqrt{\int_B \|\eta\|^2}$$

where $f(R) = \cosh(R) \sin(\sqrt{2}R) - \sqrt{2} \sinh(R) \cos(\sqrt{2}R)$.

It is useful to let $M^{\geq \epsilon}$ refer to the locus of points in M where the injectivity radius is at least ϵ . For complete hyperbolic 3-manifolds, the Margulis *thick-thin decomposition* for hyperbolic 3-manifolds guarantees the existence of a universal $\epsilon_3 > 0$ (depending only on the dimension) for which each portion of the ϵ_3 -thin part, where the injectivity radius is less than ϵ_3 , has a the standard form of a solid torus neighborhood $\mathbb{T}$ of a short geodesic γ^* (a *Margulis-tube*), a horoball modulo a parabolic $\mathbb{Z}$ -action (a *rank-one cusp*) or a horoball modulo a parabolic $\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$ -action (a *rank-two cusp*).

We now apply Theorems 3.6 and 3.7 to obtain pointwise bounds on η .

Theorem 3.8 *Let M be a complete hyperbolic 3-manifold with compact boundary and let η be a harmonic strain field on M . Then*

$$\|\eta(p)\| \leq A(\epsilon) e^{-d(p, \partial M)} \sqrt{\int_M \|\eta\|^2 + \|D\eta\|^2}$$

where $p \in M^{\geq \epsilon}$ and

$$A(\epsilon) = \frac{3e^\epsilon \sqrt{2 \operatorname{vol}(B)}}{4\pi f(\epsilon)}$$

with the function f defined in Theorem 3.7.

Proof. Let B be the ball of radius ϵ centered at p . Then B lies in $M(d(p, \partial M) - \epsilon)$. By Theorem 3.6

$$\begin{aligned} \int_B \|\eta\|^2 &\leq \int_B \|\eta\|^2 + \|D\eta\|^2 \\ &\leq \int_{M(d(p, \partial M) - \epsilon)} \|\eta\|^2 + \|D\eta\|^2 \\ &\leq e^{-2(d(p, \partial M) - \epsilon)} \int_M \|\eta\|^2 + \|D\eta\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

We then apply Theorem 3.7 to finish the proof. 3.8

We can also control the derivative of the length of a closed geodesic.

Theorem 3.9 *Let the harmonic strain field η be the time zero derivative of a family of hyperbolic metrics $M_t = (M, g_t)$ where M is a 3-manifold with compact boundary. Let γ be an essential simple closed curve in M and $\mathcal{L}_\gamma(t) = \ell_\gamma(t) + i\theta_\gamma(t)$ its complex length in M_t . Let γ^* be the geodesic representative of γ in M_0 .*

1. *If γ^* is contained in $M_0^{\geq \epsilon}$ then*

$$|\mathcal{L}'_\gamma(0)| \leq A(\epsilon)e^{-d(\gamma^*, \partial M)} \ell_\gamma(0) \sqrt{\frac{2}{3} \int_M \|\eta\|^2 + \|D\eta\|^2}$$

where $A(\epsilon)$ is the function given in Theorem 3.8.

2. *If γ^* has a tubular neighborhood U of radius R then*

$$|\mathcal{L}'_\gamma(0)| \leq C(R)e^{-d(U, \partial M)} \ell_\gamma(0) \sqrt{\frac{\int_M \|\eta\|^2 + \|D\eta\|^2}{\text{area}(\partial U)}}$$

where $1/C(R) = 2 \tanh R \left(2 + \frac{1}{\cosh^2 R}\right)$.

Proof of (1). Applying Theorem 3.8 we see that on γ^* the pointwise norm of η and $D\eta$ is bounded by $A(\epsilon)e^{-d(\gamma^*, \partial M)} \sqrt{\|\eta\|^2 + \|D\eta\|^2}$. We then apply (1) of Proposition 2.1 to finish the proof.

Proof of (2). By Theorem 3.6

$$\int_U \|\eta\|^2 + \|D\eta\|^2 \leq e^{-d(U, \partial M)} \int_M \|\eta\|^2 + \|D\eta\|^2.$$

In this case, (2) of Proposition 2.1 finishes the proof. 3.9

4 Inflexibility

There are two types of deformations of hyperbolic 3-manifolds that we will study: quasiconformal deformations, namely, quasiconformal conjugacies of their uniformizing Kleinian groups, and cone-manifold deformations, a deformation of a singular *cone-manifold* structure where in the cone-angle at the cone-locus varies. In this section we will prove two general global inflexibility theorems which will apply to both settings.

Theorem 4.1 *Let g_t be a one-parameter family of hyperbolic metrics on a 3-manifold M with $t \in [a, b]$. Let η_t be the time t derivative of the metrics g_t and*

let N_t be a family of submanifolds of M such that η_t is a harmonic strain field on N_t . Also assume that

$$\sqrt{\int_{N_t} \|\eta_t\|^2 + \|D_t \eta_t\|^2} \leq K$$

for some $K > 0$. Let p be a point in M such that for all $t \in [a, b]$, p is in $M_t^{\geq \epsilon}$ and

$$d_{M_t}(p, M \setminus N_t) \geq d$$

where $d > \epsilon$. Then

$$\log \text{bilip}(\Phi_t, p) \leq (t - a)KA(\epsilon)e^{-d}$$

where Φ_t is the identity map from M_a to M_t and $A(\epsilon)$ is the function from Theorem 3.8.

Proof. Since $d > \epsilon$ the ϵ -neighborhood of p is contained in N_t and is at least distance $d - \epsilon$ from ∂N_t . An application of Theorem 3.8 gives us

$$\|\eta_t(p)\| \leq KA(\epsilon)e^{-d}.$$

Integrating we get

$$\log \text{bilip}(\Phi_t, p) \leq (t - a)KA(\epsilon)e^{-d}$$

as desired. 4.1

Though the previous result gives no control over the bi-Lipschitz constant of the map Φ in the thin part, we may instead demonstrate exponential decay of the change in length of short curves in Margulis thin parts, which controls the geometry of the thin part itself. Here, the decay is measured in terms of the distance of the corresponding Margulis tube from the boundary. For completeness, we also bound the change in length of curves that are not short.

Theorem 4.2 *Let g_t be a one-parameter family of hyperbolic metrics on a 3-manifold M with $t \in [a, b]$. Let η_t be the time t derivative of the metrics g_t and let N_t be a family of submanifolds of M such that η_t is a harmonic strain field on N_t . Also assume that*

$$\sqrt{\int_{N_t} \|\eta_t\|^2 + \|D_t \eta_t\|^2} \leq K$$

for some $K > 0$. Let γ_t be the geodesics representative on (M, g_t) of a closed curve γ and let $\ell_\gamma(t)$ be the length of γ .

1. Assume that γ_t is in $M_t^{\geq \epsilon}$ for all $t \in [a, b]$, and that

$$d_{M_t}(\gamma_t, M \setminus N_t) \geq d.$$

Then

$$\left| \log \frac{\ell_\gamma(b)}{\ell_\gamma(a)} \right| \leq \sqrt{2/3} A(\epsilon)(b-a) K e^{-d}.$$

2. Assume γ_t has a tubular neighborhood U_t of radius $\geq R$ and the area of ∂U_t is $\geq B$. Also assume that

$$d_{M_t}(U_t, M \setminus N_t) \geq d$$

for all $t \in [a, b]$. Then

$$\left| \log \frac{\ell_\gamma(b)}{\ell_\gamma(a)} \right| \leq \frac{C(R)(b-a) K e^{-d}}{\sqrt{B}}$$

where $C(R)$ is the function from Theorem 3.9.

Proof. Both inequalities are obtained by integrating the estimates of Theorem 3.9. 4.2

Remark. Although in the above theorem we only control the real lengths of closed geodesics it is straightforward to control their complex lengths. In particular if $\mathcal{L}_\gamma(t)$ is the complex length of γ in (M, g_t) then we can view $\iota \mathcal{L}_\gamma(t)$ as a point in the upper half space model of $\mathbb{H}^2$. Then the quantities on the right hand side of the inequalities bound the hyperbolic distance between $\iota \mathcal{L}_\gamma(a)$ and $\iota \mathcal{L}_\gamma(b)$. Note that this hyperbolic distance is an upper bound on the log of the ratio of real lengths so such a hyperbolic distance bound implies the inequalities in Theorem 4.2.

5 Quasiconformal deformations

We now apply the results of the previous section to quasiconformal deformations. We begin reviewing some standard definitions.

Let M be a complete, orientable, hyperbolic 3-manifold. Its universal cover is naturally identified with $\mathbb{H}^3$ and M may be recovered as the quotient $M = \mathbb{H}^3/\Gamma$ of $\mathbb{H}^3$ by a Kleinian group Γ , namely, a discrete subgroup of $\text{Isom}^+(\mathbb{H}^3)$. The natural action of Γ on $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ by Möbius transformations partitions $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ into its *domain of discontinuity*, Ω , the largest subset of $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ where Γ acts properly discontinuously, and its *limit set* Λ . Then the *Kleinian manifold* quotient $\mathbb{H}^3 \cup \Omega/\Gamma$ is a 3-manifold with *conformal boundary* Ω/Γ .

A *K-quasiconformal deformation* of a complete, orientable, hyperbolic 3-manifolds M_0 is a map $\Psi : M_0 \rightarrow M_1$ to a complete hyperbolic 3-manifold M_1 such that the lift $\tilde{\Psi} : \mathbb{H}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^3$ to the universal covers extends continuously

to a K -quasiconformal map of $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$. If Ψ is a K -quasiconformal deformation then it will extend to a K -quasiconformal map between the conformal boundaries of M_0 and M_1 .

The following result is due to Reimann [Rei] using work of Ahlfors [Ah] and Thurston [Th1]. For a self contained exposition see [Mc3]. It is an essential tool for the work that follows

Theorem 5.1 (Reimann) *Let $\Psi : M_0 \rightarrow M_1$ be a K -quasiconformal deformation of the complete hyperbolic 3-manifold M_0 . Then there exists a one-parameter family, $M_t = (M, g_t)$, $t \in [0, 1]$, of hyperbolic 3-manifolds with time t derivative η_t such that the following holds:*

1. *The η_t are harmonic strain fields and $\|\eta_t\|_\infty, \|D_t \eta_t\|_\infty \leq 3k$ where $k = \frac{1}{2} \log K$;*
2. *Let $\Phi_t : M_0 \rightarrow M_t$ be the identity map on M . Then Φ_t is $K^{\frac{3}{2}}$ -bi-Lipschitz and Φ_1 is homotopic to Ψ .*

The convex cores $C(M_t)$ of the one-parameter family M_t will play the role of N_t when we apply Theorems 4.1 and 4.2 to M_t .

Lemma 5.2 *Let M be a complete hyperbolic 3-manifold such that $\pi_1(M)$ is finitely generated and assume that M has no rank one cusps. Let η be a harmonic strain field on M such that the norms of η and $D\eta$ are pointwise bounded by k . Then*

$$\int_{C(M)} \|\eta\|^2 + \|D\eta\|^2 \leq \text{area}(\partial C(M)) k^2.$$

Proof. We first replace the convex core with its ϵ -neighborhood, $C_\epsilon(M)$. While the boundary of the convex core may not be smooth, the boundary of $C_\epsilon(M)$ will be C^1 . We also note that $\text{area}(\partial C_\epsilon(M)) \rightarrow \text{area}(\partial C(M))$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$.

Since $\pi_1(M)$ is finitely generated the M are both topologically and geometrically tame ([Ag, CG]). In particular the convex cores $C(M)$ will be exhausted by submanifolds whose boundary has uniformly bounded area. Since the norms of η and $D\eta$ are uniformly bounded we can apply Proposition 3.1 and Lemma 3.2 to see that the L^2 -norms of η and $D\eta$ are uniformly bounded on these submanifolds which implies that the L^2 -norms of η and $D\eta$ are finite on $C_\epsilon(M)$.

Applying Lemma 3.5 to $C_\epsilon(M)$ and taking a limit as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ gives us the lemma. 5.2

To make sure that objects deep in the convex core of $C(M_0)$ stay deep in the convex core of $C(M_1)$ we will use the fact that bi-Lipschitz maps of $\mathbb{H}^3$ take convex subsets of $\mathbb{H}^3$ to quasi-convex sets, a general feature of quasi-isometries between δ -hyperbolic spaces. While this section only applies this observation for hyperbolic space we will later make use of this more general version in the setting of manifolds with pinched negative curvature, so we give more general form. Sometimes known as the Morse Lemma, Theorem 1.7 in Chapter of III.H of [BH] is one reference.

Theorem 5.3 *Given constants $L > 1$ and $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$ there exists a $K > 0$ such that the following holds. Let X_0 and X_1 be complete, simply connected Riemannian manifolds with sectional curvatures lying in $(-1 - \epsilon, -1 + \epsilon)$, and let $\Phi : X_0 \rightarrow X_1$ be an L -bi-Lipschitz diffeomorphism. Then the Φ -image of a convex set in X_0 is K -quasi-convex in X_1 .*

An example of a convex set is a geodesic – its image under a bi-Lipschitz map is an example of a quasi-geodesic. A more common way to state the above theorem is that in a space with pinched negative curvature, a quasi-geodesic is a bounded Hausdorff distance from a geodesic. In fact this is how the result is stated in [BH] but it is not hard to see that this implies the above theorem.

On application of the above theorem is the following proposition.

Proposition 5.4 *Given $B > 1$ and $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$ there exists $d > 0$ such that the following holds. Let g_0 and g_1 be complete Riemannian metrics on a manifold M with sectional curvatures in $(-1 - \epsilon, -1 + \epsilon)$ and let $\phi : (M, g_0) \rightarrow (M, g_1)$ be B -bi-Lipschitz. Then the Hausdorff distance between $C(M, g_1)$ and $\phi(C(M, g_0))$ is less than d .*

Proof. For hyperbolic manifolds this is Proposition 2.16 in [Mc3]. It follows from Theorem 5.3 and the fact that every point in the convex hull of a set is a uniform distance from a geodesic with endpoints in the set. Using work of Anderson [And], Bowditch [Bow] proved this last fact for manifolds with pinched negative curvature where the uniformity constants depend on the pinching constants. Using Bowditch's work, McMullen's proof extends to the setting we have here. 5.4

The following is Corollary 2.17 in [Mc3]. The proof is a straightforward application of Proposition 5.4.

Lemma 5.5 *Let $\Phi : M_0 \rightarrow M_1$ be an L -bi-Lipschitz diffeomorphism between complete hyperbolic 3-manifolds. Then there exist a constant d such that*

$$d(\Phi(p), M_1 \setminus C(M_1)) \geq \frac{d(p, M_0 \setminus C(M_0))}{L} - d.$$

We are now ready to prove our first inflexibility theorem for quasiconformal deformations.

Theorem 5.6 *Let M_0 and M_1 be complete hyperbolic structures on a 3-manifold M such that M_1 is a K -quasiconformal deformation of M_0 , $\pi_1(M)$ is finitely generated, and M_0 has no rank one cusps. Then there is a bi-Lipschitz diffeomorphism*

$$\Phi : M_0 \rightarrow M_1$$

whose pointwise bi-Lipschitz constant satisfies

$$\log \text{bilip}(\Phi, p) \leq C_1 e^{-C_2 d(p, M_0 \setminus C(M_0))}$$

where p is in $M_0^{\geq \epsilon}$ and C_1 and C_2 depend only on K , ϵ , and $\text{area}(\partial C(M_0))$.

Proof. Let $M_t = (M, g_t)$ be the one-parameter family of hyperbolic manifolds given by Theorem 5.1 with η_t the derivative of the metrics and

$$\Phi_t: M_0 \rightarrow M_t$$

the given maps. By Lemma 5.2 we have

$$\int_{C(M_t)} \|\eta_t\|^2 + \|D_t \eta_t\|^2 \leq \text{area}(\partial C(M_t)) 9k^2.$$

Lemma 5.5 guarantees

$$d(\Phi_t(p), M_t \setminus C(M_t)) \geq \frac{d(p, M_0 \setminus C(M_0))}{K^{\frac{3}{2}}} - d.$$

Since the Φ_t are $K^{\frac{3}{2}}$ -bi-Lipschitz we have $p \in M_t^{\geq \epsilon'}$ for all t where $\epsilon' = \epsilon/K^{\frac{3}{2}}$. The result then follows from Theorem 4.1 with $\Phi = \Phi_1$ the desired map. 5.6

For points in the thin part, the above theorem fails to yield good estimates, but this is not surprising. Indeed, one can construct examples of harmonic strain fields on Margulis tubes where the pointwise L^2 -norm is roughly constant and does not decay with depth into the tube. Rather, one expects the pointwise norm of the strain at a point in a Margulis tube to depend on the depth of the boundary of the tube. Rather than pursue such a line of argument, we will bound the change in length of short geodesics where, again, the bounds will depend on the depth of the boundary of the Margulis tube not the short geodesic. Such a bound is the natural thing to expect and suffices for applications.

For completeness we also give bounds on the change in length of curves that have bounded length but are not necessarily short. We must first show that an essential curve whose geodesic representative lies deep in the convex core of M_0 also has geodesic representative in M_1 deep in the convex core.

Proposition 5.7 *Let $M_0 = (M, g_0)$ and $M_1 = (M, g_1)$ be hyperbolic 3-manifolds that are L -bi-Lipschitz diffeomorphic. Let ϵ a positive constant such that $L\epsilon$ is less than the Margulis constant. Then there exists a constant $d = d(L, \epsilon)$ such that the following holds. Let γ be an essential closed curve in M and γ_0 and γ_1 its geodesic representatives in M_0 and M_1 , respectively.*

1. We have

$$d(\gamma_1, M_1 - C(M_1)) \geq \frac{d(\gamma_0, M_0 - C(M_0))}{L} - d,$$

and

2. if $\ell_{M_0}(\gamma_0) \leq \epsilon/L$ then

$$d(U_\epsilon^1(\gamma_1), M_1 - C(M_1)) \geq \frac{d(U_\epsilon^0(\gamma_0), M_0 - C(M_0))}{L} - d.$$

Proof. Let $\Phi : M_0 \rightarrow M_1$ be the L -bi-Lipschitz diffeomorphism. Let q be a point on γ_1 with

$$d(q, M_1 - C(M_1)) = d(\gamma_1, M_1 - C(M_1)).$$

By Theorem 5.3, the Hausdorff distance between $\Phi(\gamma_0)$ and γ_1 is bounded by K where K only depends on L so there exists a $q' \in \Phi(\gamma_0)$ with $d(q, q') \leq K$. Let $p = \Phi^{-1}(q')$. Then

$$d(p, M_0 - C(M_0)) \geq d(\gamma_0, M_0 - C(M_0)).$$

An application of Lemma 5.5 to p gives us (1).

The proof of (2) is similar with one change. Again let q be a point on $\partial U_\epsilon^1(\gamma)$ such that

$$d(q, M_1 - C(M_1)) = d(U_\epsilon^1(\gamma), M_1 - C(M_1)).$$

The collar $U_{L\epsilon}^1(\gamma) - U_{\epsilon/L}^1(\gamma)$ will contain $\partial\Phi(U_\epsilon^0(\gamma))$ and the inclusion will be a homotopy equivalence since $\Phi(U_\epsilon^0(\gamma))$ is not contained in the collar. By [BM] the width of the collar is bounded above by some W depending only on ϵ and L . Therefore there exists a $q' \in \partial\Phi(U_\epsilon^0(\gamma))$ such that $d(q, q') \leq W$. The rest of the proof is the same as in (1). 5.7

We can now control the length of geodesics under quasiconformal deformations.

Theorem 5.8 *Let $M_1 = (M, g_1)$ be a K -quasiconformal deformation of the hyperbolic 3-manifold $M_0 = (M, g_0)$ with finitely generated fundamental group and no rank-one cusps. Let γ be an essential simple closed curve in M and γ_0 and γ_1 its geodesic representatives in M_0 and M_1 respectively. Choose $\epsilon > 0$ such that $\epsilon K^{\frac{3}{2}}$ is less than the Margulis constant and let $L > \epsilon > 0$. Then there exists constants C_1 and C_2 depending on K , ϵ , L and $\text{area}(\partial C(M_0))$ such that the following holds.*

1. If $\epsilon \leq \ell(\gamma_0) \leq L$ then

$$\left| \log \frac{\ell(\gamma_1)}{\ell(\gamma_0)} \right| \leq C_1 e^{-C_2 d(\gamma_0, M_0 - C(M_0))}.$$

2. If $\ell(\gamma_0) \leq \epsilon$ then

$$\left| \log \frac{\ell(\gamma_1)}{\ell(\gamma_0)} \right| \leq C_1 e^{-C_2 d(U_\epsilon^0(\gamma), M_0 - C(M_0))}.$$

Proof. As with the proof Theorem 5.6 we now only need to put together the pieces. We will use Theorem 4.2, our generic inflexibility theorem for lengths of curves. To apply this result we use the family of deformations given by Theorem 5.1 where the bound on the L^2 -norms of the strain fields inside the convex core comes from Lemma 5.2. Finally, Proposition 5.7 guarantees that geodesics and tubes that are deep in the convex core stay deep in the convex core. The theorem then follows from an application of Theorem 5.6. 5.8

Remark. It is easy to see that both Theorems 5.6 and 5.8 hold for geometrically finite hyperbolic manifolds with rank-one cusps. To see this let $M_c^{<\delta}$ be set of points in the rank one cusps of M that have injectivity radius less than δ . If M is geometrically finite then $C_c^\delta(M) = C(M) \setminus M_c^{<\delta}$ will be compact and Theorems 5.6 and 5.8 will hold if we replace $C(M)$ with $C_c^\delta(M)$. We also note that $\text{area}(\partial C_c^\delta(M)) \rightarrow \text{area}(C(M))$ as $\delta \rightarrow 0$ and for all $p \in C(M)$ there exists a δ_p such that if $\delta < \delta_p$ then

$$d(p, M \setminus C_c^\delta(M)) = d(p, M \setminus C(M)).$$

Therefore if we let $\delta \rightarrow 0$ we recover Theorems 5.6 and 5.8 as stated above.

In fact, the above argument applies whenever $C_c^\delta(M)$ is a manifold with compact boundary, as is the case when either the intersection of each rank one cusp with the convex core has finite volume or the entire rank one cusp is contained in the convex core.

We expect both theorems should hold for any hyperbolic 3-manifold with finitely generated fundamental group.

6 Cone-manifolds

We now turn our attention to deformations of hyperbolic cone-manifolds. We begin with a definition. We let $\tilde{\mathbb{H}}^3$ be the set

$$\{(r, \theta, z) | r > 0, \theta, z \in \mathbb{R}\}$$

with the incomplete Riemannian metric

$$dr^2 + \sinh^2 r d\theta^2 + \cosh^2 r dz^2.$$

Then $\tilde{\mathbb{H}}^3$ is isometric to the lift to the universal cover of the hyperbolic metric on $\mathbb{H}^3 \setminus \ell$ where ℓ is a complete geodesic. For each $\alpha > 0$, let $\mathbb{H}_\alpha^3$ be the metric completion of the quotient of $\tilde{\mathbb{H}}^3$ under the isometry $(r, \theta, z) \mapsto (r, \theta + \alpha, z)$. Note that $\mathbb{H}_\alpha^3$ is a topological ball. Let N be a compact 3-manifold with boundary and g a complete metric on the interior of N . The metric g is a *hyperbolic cone-metric* if every point in the interior of N has a neighborhood isometric to a neighborhood of a point in $\mathbb{H}_\alpha^3$ for some $\alpha > 0$. The pair (N, h) is a *hyperbolic cone-manifold*. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be the subset of N where the metric h is singular. Then $\mathcal{C}$ will be a collection of isolated simple curves in N . In this paper we will assume that $\mathcal{C}$ is compact which implies that it is a finite collection of disjoint simple closed curves.

Let c be a component of $\mathcal{C}$. Then there is a unique $\alpha > 0$ such that each point p in c has a neighborhood isometric to the neighborhood of a singular point in $\mathbb{H}_\alpha^3$. This α is the cone-angle of the component c .

Recall that $\mathbb{H}^3$ is naturally compactified by $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$. The union is a closed 3-ball and isometries of $\mathbb{H}^3$ extend continuously to conformal automorphisms of $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$. Let $\partial_0 N$ be the components of ∂N that are not tori. Then (N, g) is a *geometrically*

finite cone-manifold if each point p in $\partial_0 N$ has a neighborhood V in N and a chart $\phi : V \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^3$ such that ϕ restricted to $V \cap \text{int}(N)$ is an isometry and ϕ restricted to $V \cap \partial N$ is a map into $\partial \mathbb{H}^3 = \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$. Note that the restriction of the charts to $\partial_0 N$ defines an atlas for a conformal structure on $\partial_0 N$. (In fact, as we will see in the next section, this conformal atlas determines a *complex projective structure* on $\partial_0 N$).

The following theorem is the cone-manifold analogue of Theorem 5.1.

Theorem 6.1 *Given $\alpha_0, L, K, \epsilon > 0$ and $B > 1$ there exists an $R > 0$ and a $d > 0$ such that the following holds. Let (M, g_α) be a geometrically finite hyperbolic cone-manifold with all cone-angles $\alpha < \alpha_0$ and the length of the singular locus is at most L . Then there exists a one-parameter family of geometrically finite hyperbolic cone-manifolds (M, g_t) defined for $t \in [0, \alpha]$ with the following properties:*

1. *Each component of the singular locus of (M, g_t) has cone-angle t and the conformal boundary is the same as the conformal boundary of (M, g_α) .*
2. *The derivative η_t of g_t is a family of harmonic strain fields outside of a radius $\sinh^{-1} 1/\sqrt{2}$ neighborhood of the singular locus.*
3. *Let U_α be the R -tubular neighborhood of the singular locus in (M, g_α) and let U_t be a tubular neighborhood of the singular locus in (M, g_t) such that $\text{area}(\partial U_t) = \text{area}(\partial U_\alpha)$. Then*

$$\int_{M_t \setminus U_t} \|\eta_t\|^2 + \|D_t \eta_t\|^2 \leq K.$$

4. *There exists B -bi-Lipschitz diffeomorphisms $\phi_t : M_\alpha \setminus U_\alpha \rightarrow M_t \setminus U_t$ such that ϕ_t is the identity map on M in the ϵ -thick part of M_α .*
5. *If $p \in M$ is in the ϵ -thick part of $M_\alpha \setminus U_\alpha$ then $d_t(p, U_t) \geq d_\alpha(p, U_\alpha)/B$.*
6. *If γ is a closed curve in M then $d_t(\gamma_t, U_t) \geq d_\alpha(\gamma_\alpha)/B - d$.*
7. *If γ is a closed curve in M with $\ell_\alpha(\gamma) < \epsilon/B$ then*

$$d_t(U_\epsilon^t(\gamma), U_t) \geq \frac{d_\alpha(U_\epsilon^\alpha(\gamma))}{B}.$$

Proof. Statements (1)-(4) are proven in [Brm3] (see Theorem 5.3 and its proof). When the singular locus is sufficiently short this was proven in [Brm2, BB] building on Hodgson and Kerckhoff's foundational work on deformations of hyperbolic cone-manifolds in [HK1, HK2, HK3].

Statement (5) follows directly from (4). Statements (6) and (7) are more difficult. We would like to apply Theorem 5.3 as in the proof of Proposition 5.7. As the manifolds M_t are not complete, however, we cannot directly use Theorem 5.3. The situation is remedied by modifying the metrics g_α and g_t in U_α

and U_t so that they are complete metrics of pinched negative curvature and by then extending the map ϕ_t to a bi-Lipschitz map for these new metrics.

The construction such metrics is straightforward: they are doubly warped products using cylindrical coordinates. Given an $r_0 > 0$ define a metric on $\mathbb{R}^3$ by

$$dr^2 + f_{r_0}(r)^2 d\theta^2 + g_{r_0}(r)^2 dz^2$$

where $f_{r_0}(r)$ and $g_{r_0}(r)$ are convex functions with $f_{r_0}(r) = \sinh r$ and $g_{r_0}(r) = \cosh r$ for $r \in [r_0/2, r_0]$ and $f_{r_0}(r) = g_{r_0}(r) = \frac{1}{2}e^r$ for $r \leq r_0/4$. We can also assume that $\sinh r \leq f_{r_0}(t) \leq \frac{1}{2}e^r$ and $\frac{1}{2}e^r \leq g_{r_0}(r) \leq \cosh r$. When $r \geq r_0/2$ or $r \leq r_0/4$ then this metric is hyperbolic. For $r \in (r_0/4, r_0/2)$ the sectional curvature will be pinched within ϵ of -1 where ϵ only depends on r_0 and $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ as $r_0 \rightarrow \infty$. Details of this calculation can be found in Section 1.2 of [Koj] where the construction is attributed to Kerckhoff.

The map $(r, \theta, z) \mapsto (r, \theta + x, z + y)$ is an isometry in this metric. If we take the quotient of the set of points with $r \in (-\infty, r_0]$ by isometries $(r, \theta, z) \mapsto (r, \theta + t, z)$ and $(r, \theta + x, z + \ell)$ we get a complete metric on $T^2 \times (-\infty, r_0]$. If $r_0 = R_t$ is the tube radius of U_t and $\ell + ix$ is the complex length of the singular locus of (M, g_t) then the $R_t/2$ -neighborhood of the boundary is isometric to the $R_t/2$ -neighborhood of ∂U_t . We then define g'_t on U_t by replacing the original metric with the above metric. Since the two metrics agree in a collar neighborhood of ∂U_t the metric g'_t is smooth and g'_t is a complete metric on M with sectional curvature within ϵ of -1 .

We now construct a bi-Lipschitz diffeomorphism $\phi'_t : (M, g'_\alpha) \rightarrow (M, g'_t)$ by extending the map ϕ_t from (4). The original map ϕ_t restricted to ∂U_α is a B -bi-Lipschitz diffeomorphism from ∂U_α to ∂U_t . This map can then be extended to a map on (U_α, g'_α) in the obvious way. Namely there are nearest point projections of (U_α, g'_α) and (U_t, g'_t) onto ∂U_α and ∂U_t respectively. Then on U_α , ϕ'_t is the unique map that commutes with these projections and that takes a point distance r from ∂U_α to a point distance r from ∂U_t . We need to calculate the bi-Lipschitz constant of this map.

To do so we make a few observations. First the functions $f_R(r)$ and $g_R(r)$ converge uniformly to $\frac{1}{2}e^r$ as $R \rightarrow \infty$. Second we note that by construction the derivative of the map is an isometry in the r -direction. For a vector v tangent to the tori of fixed r -coordinate a direction calculation shows that

$$\frac{1}{B} \frac{f_{R_t}(r')}{f_{R_t}(R_t)} \frac{g_{R_\alpha}(R_\alpha)}{g_{R_\alpha}(r)} \|v\| \leq \|(\phi'_t)_* v\| \leq B \frac{g_{R_t}(r')}{g_{R_t}(R_t)} \frac{f_{R_\alpha}(R_\alpha)}{f_{R_\alpha}(r)} \|v\|$$

where $R_\alpha - r = R_t - r'$. Therefore the map is B' -bi-Lipschitz where B' is the maximum of the factor on the right side of the inequality and the inverse of the factor on left side of the inequality. Since the functions $f_R(r)$ and $g_R(r)$ converge uniformly to $\frac{1}{2}e^r$, the quotients $f_R(r_1)/f_R(r_0)$ and $g_R(r_1)/g_R(r_0)$ converge uniformly to $e^{r_1 - r_0}$. By Theorem 2.7 of [HK2] the length of the singular locus is an increasing function of t . This implies that R_t is a decreasing function in t and therefore the bi-Lipschitz constant, B' , depends only on B and R . This in turn implies that the constant that we get when we apply Theorem 5.3 only depends on B and R_α .

To finish the proof of (6) and (7) we simply follow the proof of Proposition 5.7 where $M \setminus U_\alpha$ and $M \setminus U_t$ replace the role of the convex cores. 6.1

We can now prove the bi-Lipschitz inflexibility theorem for cone-manifolds just as we did in the case of the quasiconformal deformations. We leave the details to the reader.

Theorem 6.2 *Let $M_t = (M, g_t)$ be the one-parameter family of geometrically finite cone-manifolds given by Theorem 6.1. If p is in the ϵ -thick part of (M, g_α) then the pointwise bi-Lipschitz constant of the maps*

$$\phi_t : M_\alpha \rightarrow M_t$$

satisfies

$$\log \text{bilip}(\phi_t, p) \leq C_1 e^{-C_2 d_\alpha(p, M_\alpha \setminus U_\alpha)}$$

where the constants C_1 and C_2 depend on the α_0, L, K, ϵ and B as in Theorem 6.1.

Next we state the cone-manifold version of the length inflexibility statement. Again the proof closely follows the proof for quasiconformal deformations and we leave details to the reader.

Theorem 6.3 *Let $M_t = (M, g_t)$ be the one parameter family of geometrically finite cone-manifolds given by Theorem 6.1. Let γ be an essential simple closed curve in M and γ_t its geodesic representatives in M_t . Assume that $\ell_\alpha(\gamma) < \ell$ for some $\ell > 0$. Then there exists constants C_1 and C_2 depending on the constants α_0, L, K, ϵ and B from Theorem 6.1 and on ℓ such that the following holds.*

1. *If $\epsilon \leq \ell_\alpha(\gamma) \leq \ell$ then*

$$\left| \log \frac{\ell_t(\gamma)}{\ell_\alpha(\gamma)} \right| \leq C_1 e^{-C_2 d_\alpha(\gamma_\alpha, U_\alpha)}.$$

2. *If $\ell_\alpha(\gamma) \leq \epsilon/B$ then*

$$\left| \log \frac{\ell_t(\gamma)}{\ell_\alpha(\gamma)} \right| \leq C_1 e^{-C_2 d_\alpha(U_\epsilon^\alpha(\gamma), U_\alpha)}.$$

7 Schwarzian derivatives

The conformal boundary of a hyperbolic 3-manifold also has a projective structure. In this section we will obtain bounds on how this projective boundary changes during either a quasiconformal deformation or a cone-deformation. We begin with some background on projective structures. One reference for this material is [Dum]

A *complex projective structure* on a surface S can be defined in two equivalent ways. First, a complex projective structure is an atlas of charts to $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$

whose transition functions are restrictions of Möbius transformations. Second, a projective structure is a *developing pair* (D, ρ) where $D: \tilde{S} \rightarrow \hat{\mathbb{C}}$ is a local homeomorphism and ρ is representation of $\pi_1(S)$ in $\mathrm{PSL}_2 \mathbb{C}$ for which that $D \circ g(x) = \rho(g) \circ D(x)$ for all $g \in \pi_1(S)$ and $x \in \tilde{S}$. The map D is *developing map* and ρ is the *holonomy representation*. An atlas determines a developing pair and a developing pair determines an atlas.

A projective structure determines a conformal structure on S but distinct projective structures may have the same underlying conformal structure. If X is a conformal structure on S then we let $P(X)$ denote the space of projective structures on S with conformal structure X .

Note that the charts that define a conformal structure on the boundary of a hyperbolic 3-manifold also define a projective structure. We refer to this projective structure as the projective boundary of the manifold. We will be interested in controlling how the projective boundary changes under a deformation fixing the conformal boundary.

The difference between two projective structures Σ_0 and Σ_1 in $P(X)$ is measured by a quadratic differential Φ determined via the Schwarzian derivative. We can then define $d(\Sigma_0, \Sigma_1) = \|\Phi\|_\infty$ where $\|\Phi\|_\infty$ is the sup-norm taken with respect to the hyperbolic metric on X . If Σ_t is a smooth path in $P(X)$ from Σ_0 to Σ_1 then the time t derivative Φ_t of the path is also a quadratic differential. The following inequality will be useful for bounding $d(\Sigma_0, \Sigma_1)$:

$$\|\Phi\|_\infty \leq \int_0^1 \|\Phi_t\|_\infty dt.$$

For each hyperbolic structure X there is a unique Fuchsian projective structure Σ_F in $P(X)$. For an arbitrary $\Sigma \in P(X)$ we define $\|\Sigma\|_F = d(\Sigma, \Sigma_F)$.

A key substantive difference between a conformal structure and a projective structure a projective structure carries a well defined notion of a round disk. Let Σ be projective structure. Then a *round disk* on Σ is a projective map from a round disk in $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$ to Σ . If M is a hyperbolic 3-manifold then a *half-space* in M is a local isometry from a half space in $\mathbb{H}^3$ to M . Note that the projective boundary of a half-space in $\mathbb{H}^3$ is a round disk so every half space in hyperbolic 3-manifold extends to a round disk on the projective boundary.

The following result is our generic inflexibility theorem for Schwarzian derivatives. It should be compared to Theorems 4.1 and 4.2.

Theorem 7.1 *Let g_t , $t \in [a, b]$, be a one-parameter family of hyperbolic metrics on the interior of a 3-manifold M with boundary. Let η_t be the time t derivative of the metrics g_t and let N_t be a family of submanifolds of M with compact boundary such that η_t is a harmonic strain field on N_t . Also assume that*

$$\sqrt{\int_{N_t} \|\eta_t\|^2 + \|D_t \eta_t\|^2} \leq K$$

for some $K > 0$. Let S be a component of ∂M such that each hyperbolic metric g_t extends to a fixed conformal structure X on S and a family of projective

structures Σ_t on S . Assume that at every embedded round disk in Σ_t bounds an embedded half space H in N_t and that

$$d_{M_t}(H, M \setminus N_t) \geq d$$

for some $d > 0$. Then

$$d(\Sigma_a, \Sigma_b) \leq CKe^{-d}$$

where C is a constant depending on $\|\Sigma_a\|_F$ and the injectivity radius of X .

Proof. Let H be an embedded half space in M_t bounding a round disk in Σ_t . By Theorem 3.6 we have

$$\int_H \|\eta_t\|^2 + \|D_t \eta_t\|^2 \leq K^2 e^{-2d}.$$

Let Φ_t be the holomorphic quadratic differential that is the time t derivative of the family of projective structures Σ_t . Then by Theorem 5.5 in [Brm2] we have

$$K^2 e^{-2d} \geq 2\sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{3}} \frac{\tanh^2(\kappa/2)}{1 + 2\|\Sigma_t\|_F} \|\Phi_t\|_\infty$$

where κ is the injectivity radius of the hyperbolic structure on X . Integrating this inequality finishes the proof of the theorem. For details see the proof of Theorem 1.3 in [Brm2]. 7.1

7.1 Quasiconformal deformations

Let M be a complete hyperbolic 3-manifold. Then each component Σ of the projective boundary of M will bound a component of $M \setminus C(M)$, the complement of the convex core. Label this component $\mathcal{N}(\Sigma)$ which should be thought of as a standard neighborhood of Σ in M . If Σ is a union of components of the projective boundary then $\mathcal{N}(\Sigma)$ is the corresponding union of components of $M \setminus C(M)$. If X is a component of the conformal boundary we similarly define $\mathcal{N}(X)$.

Theorem 5.1 gave us one-parameter family of hyperbolic manifold interpolating between a quasiconformal deformation. We will need to use this result again but we will also need to know that the corresponding strain fields are L^2 in a neighborhood of those ends of the boundary where the deformation is conformal. For convenience we restate Theorem 5.1 as part of the theorem below.

Theorem 7.2 *Let $\Psi : M_0 \rightarrow M_1$ be a K -quasiconformal deformation of the complete orientable hyperbolic 3-manifold M_0 . Then there exists a one-parameter family, $M_t = (M, g_t)$, $t \in [0, 1]$, of hyperbolic metrics g_t with time t derivative η_t such that the following holds:*

1. The η_t are harmonic strain fields and $\|\eta_t\|_\infty, \|D_t\eta_t\|_\infty \leq 3k$ where $k = \frac{1}{2} \log K$;
2. Let $\Phi_t : M_0 \rightarrow M_t$ be the identity map on M . Then Φ_t is $K^{\frac{3}{2}}$ -bi-Lipschitz and Φ_1 is homotopic to Ψ ;
3. Let X be a union of components of the conformal boundary M_0 such that Ψ extends to a conformal map on X . Then Φ_t extends to a conformal map on X for all t and

$$\int_{\mathcal{N}(\Phi_t(X))} \|\eta_t\|^2 + \|D_t\eta_t\|^2 < \infty.$$

Proof. We only need to prove (3) as (1) are (2) are exactly the same as Theorem 5.1. The fact that Φ_t is conformal on X follows directly from the construction in [Rei]. To establish the L^2 -bounds, we lift η_t to a harmonic strain field $\tilde{\eta}_t$ on the universal cover $\mathbb{H}^3$. Then $\tilde{\eta}_t$ is the visual extension of a Beltrami differential μ_t on $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$. By construction, μ_t will be zero on $\tilde{\Phi}_t(\Omega_X)$ where Ω_X is the component of the domain of discontinuity that descends to X .

Let p be a point in $\mathcal{N}(\Phi_t(X))$. There is a unique point q in $\partial C(M_t)$ that is nearest to p . Let σ be the shortest geodesic between p and q , let $\tilde{\sigma}$ be a lift of this geodesic to $\mathbb{H}^3$ and let $\tilde{p}$ and $\tilde{q}$ be the endpoints of this geodesic which lie in the pre-images of p and q , respectively. Let P be the hyperbolic plane in $\mathbb{H}^3$ that contains $\tilde{q}$ and is perpendicular to $\tilde{\sigma}$. The boundary of P is a circle in $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ that bounds a disk D contained in $\tilde{\Phi}_t(\Omega_X)$. An easy calculation shows that the in the visual measure based at $\tilde{p}$, the ratio of the area of D to the area of the entire sphere is $\tanh d(p, q)$. This implies that

$$\|\eta_t(p)\| = \|\tilde{\eta}_t(p)\| \leq C(1 - \tanh d(p, q)) \sim 2Ce^{-2d(p, q)}$$

where C is a constant that only depends on $\|\mu_t\|_\infty$. The area of the surface obtained by taking the locus of points in $\mathcal{N}(\Phi_t(X))$ a distance d from $\partial C(M_t)$ grows like e^{2d} . Together these two estimates imply that the integral of $\|\eta_t\|^2$ over $\mathcal{N}(\Phi_t(X))$ is finite.

To estimate the norm of $\|D_t\eta_t\|$ we note that the lift of this strain field is obtained by averaging $\imath\mu_t$ so the same argument shows that it has finite L^2 -norm on $\mathcal{N}(\Phi_t(X))$. 7.2

We can now prove the quasiconformal deformation version of our inflexibility theorem for Schwarzian derivatives.

Theorem 7.3 *Let $\Psi : M_0 \rightarrow M_1$ be a K -quasiconformal deformation of complete, hyperbolic 3-manifolds. Assume that the conformal boundary of M_0 is the disjoint union of two collections of components X and Y and that Ψ extends to a conformal map on Y . Let Σ_0 be the projective structure on X and Σ_1 the projective structure on $\Psi(X)$. Let d be the minimal distance between $\mathcal{N}(X)$ and $\mathcal{N}(Y)$ in M_0 . Then*

$$d(\Sigma_0, \Sigma_1) \leq C_0 e^{-C_1 d}$$

where C_0 and C_1 depend only on K , $\text{area}(Y)$, $\|\Sigma_0\|_F$ and the injectivity radius of X .

Proof. We want to apply Theorem 7.1. Let M_t be the one-parameter family of hyperbolic 3-manifolds given by Theorem 7.2. Then the submanifolds N_t will be the union of the convex cores $C(M_t)$ and the neighborhoods $\mathcal{N}(\Sigma_t)$. By Lemma 5.2 the L^2 -norm of η_t and $D_t\eta_t$ is finite on $C(M_t)$, and by (3) of Theorem 7.2 these L^2 -norms are finite on $\mathcal{N}(\Sigma_t)$. Therefore the L^2 -norms are finite on the union N_t and just as in the proof of Lemma 5.2 we can apply Lemma 3.5 to see that

$$\int_{N_t} \|\eta_t\|^2 + \|D_t\eta_t\|^2 \leq \text{area}(Y)9k^2.$$

The maps $\Phi_t : M_0 \rightarrow M_t$ are $K^{\frac{3}{2}}$ -bi-Lipschitz and such a map between hyperbolic manifolds will take a convex set to a K_0 -quasi-convex set where K_0 depends on K . Applying this fact to Φ_t^{-1} we see that the Hausdorff distance between $\Phi_t(C(M_0))$ and $C(M_0)$ is bounded by a constant K_1 which again only depends on K . In particular the distance between $\mathcal{N}(\Phi_t(X))$ and $\mathcal{N}(\Phi_t(Y))$ is bounded below by $d/K^{\frac{3}{2}} - K_1$.

Finally we see that if D is round disk in $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ bounding a half space in H then D descends to an embedded disk in projective boundary of M_t if every deck transformation for M_t takes D of itself. But if this is the case the same will hold for H so H will descend to an embedded half space in M_t .

We are now in position to apply Theorem 4.1 to see that

$$d(\Sigma_0, \Sigma_1) \leq C_0 e^{-C_1 d}$$

where $C_0 = C \text{area}(T)9k^2 e^{-K_1}$ with C the constant from Theorem 4.1 and $C_1 = 1/K^{\frac{3}{2}}$. 7.3

Remark. If the components of X are incompressible then Nehari's Theorem [Neh] implies that $\|\Sigma_t\|_F \leq 3/2$. In particular, the constants in the previous theorem will not depend on $\|\Sigma_0\|_F$ in this case.

Remark. As with our previous inflexibility theorems for quasiconformal deformations, Theorem 7.3 also holds for certain hyperbolic 3-manifolds with rank-one cusps. For example if $(M_t \cup \mathcal{N}(\Phi_t(Y))) \setminus (M_t)_c^\delta$ is a compact manifold then the proof of Theorem 7.3 goes through after making the exact same modifications that were described in the remark after the proof of Theorem 5.8. Manifolds lying on the boundary of a Bers slice of a closed surface give one important case where this condition holds.

7.2 Hyperbolic cone-manifolds

We now want to obtain a similar theorem for deformations of cone-manifolds. The main difficulty is that we need a replacement for the notion of convex core.

We begin by defining a neighborhood of a component of the projective boundary. Note that our construction would also work for a smooth manifold and in fact would exactly be the component of the complement of the convex core.

We start with a lemma about round disks in the projective boundary.

Lemma 7.4 *Let M be the non-singular part of a 3-dimensional hyperbolic cone-manifold. Then every round disk on the projective boundary of M extends to a half-space in M , and if the disk is embedded the half space is embedded.*

Proof. In Lemma 3.3 of [Brm2] it is shown that every embedded round disk extends to an embedded half space so we only need to show that every round disk extends to half space. One can check that this lemma still holds for the universal cover of the non-singular part of a cone-manifold unless the closure of the disk fails to embed in the projective boundary, in which case the half space may not be embedded. In particular, the map of the half space may not be injective on the hyperbolic plane bounding the half space. But any embedded half space in the projective boundary of M will lift, in the universal cover, to a round disk whose closure is embedded.

Let Σ be a component of the projective boundary of M . Let $\tilde{M}$ be the universal cover of M and let $\tilde{\Sigma}$ be a component of the pre-image of Σ in the projective boundary of $\tilde{M}$. Any round disk in Σ will lift to a round disk in $\tilde{\Sigma}$. Note that while the projective structure $\tilde{\Sigma}$ may not be simply connected it will have a trivial holonomy representation. This implies that every round disk in $\tilde{\Sigma}$ is embedded and by the above cited lemma this disk bounds a half space in $\tilde{M}$. This half space will then descend to a half-space in M . 7.4

We define $\mathcal{N}(\Sigma)$ to be the union of all half-spaces that are bounded by round disks in Σ . Since two half-spaces in M will intersect if and only if their boundary round disks intersect, disjoint components of the projective boundary will determine disjoint neighborhoods.

Thurston parameterized the space of projective structures on a surface S by the product of the Teichmüller space and the space of measured laminations. In his proof he extends a projective structure to a hyperbolic structure on $\Sigma \times [0, \infty)$ where the boundary is a locally concave pleated surface (or a locally convex pleated surface if it is embedded in a larger manifold). Lemma 7.4 essentially shows that this hyperbolic structure constructed by Thurston is our neighborhood $\mathcal{N}(\Sigma)$. We now state Thurston's result in a form that will be useful to us. For a proof see [KT].

Theorem 7.5 (Thurston) *Each neighborhood $\mathcal{N}(\Sigma)$ is homeomorphic to $\Sigma \times (0, \infty)$. If the singular locus doesn't intersect the boundary of $\mathcal{N}(\Sigma)$ then the boundary is a locally convex pleated surface.*

Our inflexibility theorems will be vacuous if the singular locus is on the boundary of $\mathcal{N}(\Sigma)$ so we can effectively assume that the boundary of $\mathcal{N}(\Sigma)$ is a locally convex pleated surface.

Lemma 7.6 *Let (M, g) be the non-singular part of a 3-dimensional hyperbolic cone-manifold and let (M, g') be a complete Riemannian metric on M with pinched negative curvature such that $g = g'$ on $\mathcal{N}(\Sigma)$. Then $M \setminus \mathcal{N}(\Sigma)$ is the convex core of (M, g') .*

Proof. By Theorem 7.5 the manifold M deformation retracts onto $M \setminus \mathcal{N}(\Sigma)$ so the inclusion of $M \setminus \mathcal{N}(\Sigma)$ into M will be a homotopy equivalence. The boundary of $M \setminus \mathcal{N}(\Sigma)$ will be locally convex in (M, g) and therefore also in (M, g') . This implies that $M \setminus \mathcal{N}(\Sigma)$ is a convex sub-manifold in (M, g') whose inclusion is a homotopy equivalence and therefore the convex core is contained in $M \setminus \mathcal{N}(\Sigma)$.

Next we show that the pleating locus of the pleated surfaces bounding $M \setminus \mathcal{N}(\Sigma)$ must be contained in the convex core. To see this we first note that any closed geodesic is in the convex core. The pleating locus can be approximated by closed geodesics so it must also be in the convex core.

Finally the join of anything in the convex core will also be in the convex core. Since the join of the pleating locus will contain the pleated surface we have that $\partial(M \setminus \mathcal{N}(\Sigma))$ lies in the convex core so $M \setminus \mathcal{N}(\Sigma)$ lies in the convex core. 7.6

Given this lemma, it is natural to define the convex core of a hyperbolic cone-manifold by $C(M) = M \setminus \mathcal{N}(\Sigma)$.

To prove our inflexibility theorem we need a version of the deformation theorem for cone-manifolds that controls the distance from the standard neighborhood of the singular locus to the convex core boundary. Again, it will be convenient to restate part of the original deformation theorem, Theorem 6.1.

Theorem 7.7 *Given $\alpha_0, L, K > 0$ and $B > 1$ there exists an $R > 0$ such that the following holds. Let (M, g_α) be a geometrically finite hyperbolic cone-manifold with all cone-angles $\alpha < \alpha_0$ and with singular locus of length at most L . Then there exists a one-parameter family of geometrically finite hyperbolic cone-manifolds (M, g_t) defined for $t \in [0, \alpha]$ with the following properties:*

1. *All cone angles of (M, g_t) are t and the conformal boundary is the same as the conformal boundary of (M, g_α) .*
2. *The derivative η_t of g_t is a family of harmonic strain fields outside of a radius $\sinh^{-1} 1/\sqrt{2}$ neighborhood of the singular locus.*
3. *Let U_α be the R -tubular neighborhood of the singular locus in (M, g_α) and let U_t be a tubular neighborhood of the singular locus in (M, g_t) such that $\text{area}(\partial U_t) = \text{area}(\partial U_\alpha)$. Then*

$$\int_{M_t \setminus U_t} \|\eta_t\|^2 + \|D_t \eta_t\|^2 \leq K.$$

4. *Let X be a component of the conformal boundary and Σ_t the projective structure on X induced by (M, g_t) . Then*

$$d(U_t, \mathcal{N}(\Sigma_t)) \geq d(U_\alpha, \mathcal{N}(\Sigma_\alpha))/B - d.$$

Proof. Except for (4) this is exactly the same Theorem 6.1. To prove (4) we would like to apply Proposition 5.4 but since our metrics are incomplete we cannot do so directly. We will use the same trick that we used in the proof of Theorem 6.1 and replace the metrics g_α and g_t with complete metrics of pinched negative curvature, g'_α and g'_t and then use the extended B -bi-Lipschitz diffeomorphism ϕ'_t from (M, g'_α) to (M, g'_t) . We then apply Proposition 5.4 which shows that

$$Bd(U_t, M \setminus C(M, g'_t)) + d \geq d(U_\alpha, M \setminus C(M, g'_\alpha)).$$

Note that if U_α is contained in $C(M, g_\alpha)$ for otherwise (4) is vacuous. The inequality then follows from Lemma 7.6. 7.7

We can now apply Theorems 7.1 and 7.7 to get our Schwarzian inflexibility theorem for cone-manifolds. The proof has the same general flavor as Theorem 7.3 and we leave details to the reader.

Theorem 7.8 *Given $\alpha_0, L, K > 0$ and $B > 1$ there exists an $R > 0$ such that the following holds. Let (M, g_α) be a geometrically finite hyperbolic cone-manifold with all cone-angles $\alpha < \alpha_0$, singular locus of length at most L and tube radius of the singular locus at least R . Let $M_t = (M, g_t)$ be the one-parameter family of geometrically finite cone-manifolds given by Theorem 7.7. Let Σ_t be a component of the projective boundary of the M_t with underlying conformal structure X . Then*

$$d(\Sigma_\alpha, \Sigma_t) \leq CK e^{-d(U_\alpha, \mathcal{N}(\Sigma_\alpha))/B-d}$$

where U_α is the tubular neighborhood of the singular locus of radius R_0 and C is a constant depending on $\|\Sigma_\alpha\|_F$ and the injectivity radius of X .

8 Curves on surfaces and limits of surface groups

The application of inflexibility to uniformization of 3-manifolds fibering over the circle requires us to develop some preliminary notions from algebraic and geometric convergence of Kleinian groups. We emphasize that the techniques we develop treat only the case when S is closed, though many results hold more generally. We will assume S is closed in the sequel.

Hyperbolic surfaces. We begin by reviewing some standard facts about hyperbolic surfaces. A proof of the following Lemma of Bers can be found in [Bus].

Lemma 8.1 *Given a closed surface S of genus g there exist positive L_g and L'_g such that for any hyperbolic structure X on S the following holds.*

1. *For all points p in X there is an essential simple closed curve of length at most L_g that contains p .*

2. Any simple closed curve on X of length at most L_g can be extended to pants decomposition of total length at most L'_g .

We also recall the Margulis lemma for hyperbolic surfaces. If X is a hyperbolic surface the ϵ -thin part, denoted $X^{\leq \epsilon}$, is the set of points in X whose injectivity radius is at most ϵ .

Lemma 8.2 (Margulis) *There exists $\varepsilon_2 > 0$ such that if $\epsilon \leq \varepsilon_2$ then every component of $X^{< \epsilon}$ is either the R -neighborhood of a simple closed geodesic, $R > 0$, or a horosphere modulo a parabolic $\mathbb{Z}$ -action.*

The ϵ -thick part $X^{\geq \epsilon}$ comprises the subest of X where the injectivity radius is at least ϵ . For surfaces, the thick-part satisfies a bounded diameter condition as an application of Gauss-Bonnet.

Lemma 8.3 *Each component of $X^{\geq \epsilon}$ has diameter bounded by a constant D depending only on ϵ and S .*

The complex of curves. Given a closed surface S of negative euler characteristic, let $\mathcal{S}$ denote the collection of isotopy classes of simple closed curves on S . The *complex of curves* $\mathcal{C}(S)$, is a simplicial complex of dimension $3g - 2$ whose vertices correspond to elements of $\mathcal{S}$, and whose k -simplices span collections of $k + 1$ vertices whose corresponding elements of $\mathcal{S}$ can be realized disjointly on S . Giving each simplex the standard metric, we obtain a distance function

$$d_{\mathcal{C}}: \mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}.$$

A standard projection map from $\text{Teich}(S)$ to $\mathcal{C}(S)$ is readily defined by applying the following Lemma, which is a simple application of the Collar Lemma [Bus, Thm. 4.4.6] and [MM, Lem. 2.1].

Lemma 8.4 *Given $L > 0$ there exists $C > 0$ such that if α and β are simple closed curves on X of length at most L then we have $d_{\mathcal{C}}(\alpha, \beta) \leq C$.*

The coarse projection map

$$\pi_{\mathcal{C}}: \text{Teich}(S) \rightarrow P(\mathcal{C}^0(S))$$

assigns to each $X \in \text{Teich}(S)$ the collection of vertices of $\mathcal{C}(S)$ whose corresponding curves can be realized on X with length less than L_g . By Lemma 8.1, the image $\pi_{\mathcal{C}}(X)$ is non-empty and by Lemma 8.4 it has uniformly bounded diameter, so we have a coarse notion of separation between bounded length curves on X and Y obtained by taking

$$d_{\mathcal{C}}(X, Y) = \text{diam}_{\mathcal{C}(S)}(\pi_{\mathcal{C}}(X), \pi_{\mathcal{C}}(Y)).$$

Thurston's compactification. The elements of $\mathcal{S}$ naturally determine points in Thurston's compactification for $\text{Teich}(S)$, the *projective measured lamination space* $\mathcal{PML}(S)$. Thurston showed Teichmüller space can be compactified by the

$(6g-7)$ -sphere $\mathcal{PML}(S)$ to obtain a closed ball. The action of the mapping class group $\text{Mod}(S)$ on $\text{Teich}(S)$ extends to the compactification by homeomorphisms. Each simple closed curve α determines a point in $\mathcal{PML}(S)$. For further details on Thurston's construction, we point the reader to [FLP], [IT], or [Bon2].

Pseudo Anosov-mapping classes. Those elements $\psi \in \text{Mod}(S)$ with positive translation distance realized at a point on the interior of $\text{Teich}(S)$ are known as *pseudo-Anosov* mapping classes. Their action on $\mathcal{C}(S)$ is characterized by a freeness condition: for each $\gamma \in \mathcal{C}^0(S)$, we have $\gamma \neq \psi^n(\gamma)$ for any non-zero n . Thurston showed these elements have *north-south dynamics* on the compactified Teichmüller space: there is a unique stable lamination $[\mu^+]$ and unstable lamination $[\mu^-]$ in $\mathcal{PML}(S)$ fixed by the action of ψ , and for each neighborhood U of $[\mu^+]$ and each $[\gamma] \in \mathcal{PML}(S)$ with $[\gamma] \neq [\mu^-]$, there is an n for which $\psi^n([\gamma])$ lies in U , and similarly for $[\mu^-]$.

Surface groups. We discuss two related notions of convergence for hyperbolic 3-manifolds with the homotopy type of a surface S . A sequence $\{\rho_i\}$ of discrete, faithful representations

$$\rho_i : \pi_1(S) \rightarrow \text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{C})$$

converges to a limit ρ_∞ if $\rho_i(\gamma) \rightarrow \rho_\infty(\gamma)$ in $\text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ for every $\gamma \in \pi_1(S)$. The quotient topology determined by passing to conjugacy classes is the *algebraic topology*, and the set of all conjugacy classes of discrete, faithful representations of $\pi_1(S)$ to $\text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ with this topology is denoted $AH(S)$.

On the level of quotient hyperbolic 3-manifolds one obtains a similar formulation via *marking* hyperbolic 3-manifolds by homotopy equivalences from surfaces. Precisely, for each i let M_i be a complete hyperbolic 3-manifold and

$$f_i : S \rightarrow M_i$$

a homotopy equivalence. Then the marked manifolds $\{(f_i, M_i)\}$ converge to the marked manifold (f_∞, M_∞) if there are lifts $\tilde{f}_i : \tilde{S} \rightarrow \tilde{M}_i = \mathbb{H}^3$ such that $\tilde{f}_i$ converges to $\tilde{f}_\infty$ uniformly on compact sets. Giving such pairs the equivalence relation

$$(f, M) \sim (g, N)$$

if there is an isometry $\phi : M \rightarrow N$ so that $\phi \circ f \simeq g$, the quotient topology yields the *algebraic topology* on $\{[(f, M)]\}$ equivalence classes of marked hyperbolic 3-manifolds homotopy equivalent to S . The topology is equivalent to that given above for representations via the natural bijective holonomy relation between conjugacy classes of discrete faithful $\text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ representations ρ of $\pi_1(S)$ and equivalence classes $[(f, M)]$. We will also use $AH(S)$ to refer to the collection of equivalence classes of such marked hyperbolic 3-manifolds with the algebraic topology. When the meaning is clear from context, we will also refer to a hyperbolic 3-manifold M in $AH(S)$ assuming an implicit marking by a homotopy equivalence $f : S \rightarrow M$.

As in the setting of $\text{Teich}(S)$, the mapping class group $\text{Mod}(S)$ acts on $AH(S)$ via *marking*

$$\varphi(f, M) \mapsto (f \circ \varphi^{-1}, M).$$

As a result, we have the diagonal action $\varphi(Q(X, Y)) = Q(\varphi(X), \varphi(Y))$ of the mapping class $\varphi \in \text{Mod}(S)$ on quasi-Fuchsian space.

Geometric convergence. Let (M_n, p_n) be a sequence of hyperbolic 3-manifolds with basepoint. We say that (M_n, p_n) converges *geometrically* to a based hyperbolic 3-manifold (M_∞, p_∞) if for every compact subset K of M_∞ containing p_∞ and every $L > 1$ there exist L -bilipschitz embeddings

$$\phi_n : (K, p_\infty) \rightarrow (M_n, p_n)$$

for n sufficiently large. The maps ϕ_n are the *approximating maps*. We note that this form of geometric convergence is often called *bi-Lipschitz convergence*.

The following lemma relates geometric convergence to algebraic convergence.

Lemma 8.5 *Let (M_n, p_n) converge to (M_G, p_G) geometrically. Let $f : S \rightarrow M_G$ be a map whose image is contained in an open set $\mathcal{K}$ whose closure is compact and assume $p_G \in \mathcal{K}$. Let $\phi_n : (\mathcal{K}, p_G) \rightarrow (M_n, p_n)$ be approximating maps with bi-Lipschitz constant limiting to 1, and assume that $\phi_n \circ f : S \rightarrow M_n$ are homotopy equivalences. Then $(\phi_n \circ f, M_n)$ converges to (f_∞, M_∞) where M_∞ is the cover of M_G induced by the subgroup $f_*(\pi_1(S))$ and f_∞ is the lift of f .*

Proof. We lift the ϕ_n to maps $\tilde{\phi}_n : (\tilde{\mathcal{K}}, \tilde{p}_G) \rightarrow (\mathbb{H}^3, \tilde{p}_n)$. Note that $\tilde{\mathcal{K}}$ is a subset of $\mathbb{H}^3$ and we can assume that $\tilde{p}_G = \tilde{p}_n$ and that the derivative $D\tilde{\phi}_n$ converges to the identity on the tangent space at $\tilde{p}_G$. By Arzela-Ascoli this sequence will be pre-compact in the compact-open topology and since the bi-Lipschitz constant limits to 1, every limit will be an isometry with derivative the identity on the tangent space at $\tilde{p}_G$. Therefore $\tilde{\phi}_n$ converges to the identity map and the lemma follows. 8.5

We would like to compare an algebraic convergence to geometric convergence. We say that an algebraically convergent sequence $[(f_n, M_n)] \rightarrow [(f_\infty, M_\infty)]$ converges *strongly* if the following holds. Let (f_n, M_n) be representatives such that $(f_n, M_n) \rightarrow (f_\infty, M_\infty)$ and let $p_n = f_n(p)$ where p is a point in S . Let (M_G, p_G) be the geometric limit of (M_n, p_n) . Then $[(f_n, M_n)]$ converges to $[(f_\infty, M_\infty)]$ strongly if $(M_G, p_G) = (M_\infty, p_\infty)$.

Note that if (f_n, M_n) converges to (M_∞, p_∞) and the convergence is strong then the approximating maps ϕ_n can be chosen such that if $\mathcal{K}$ is a compact set with $f_\infty(S) \subset \mathcal{K}$ then f_n is homotopic to $\phi_n \circ f_\infty$.

We will use the following fundamental result of Thurston and an improvement due to R. Evans.

Theorem 8.6 (Thurston, Evans) *Let $[\rho_n] \rightarrow [\rho]$ be a convergent sequence in $AH(S)$ and assume that for all $\alpha \in \pi_1(S)$, if $\rho(\alpha)$ is parabolic then $\rho_n(\alpha)$ is parabolic for all n . Then the convergence is strong.*

Remark. The case when ρ_n is assumed quasi-Fuchsian was established by Thurston (see [Th1]), and generalized by Evans ([Ev]) to setting of general

manifolds in $AH(S)$. We will use exclusively the case when ρ has no parabolic elements in its image; the proof in this setting is considerably easier.

8.1 Lipschitz maps

Let $g: X \rightarrow M$ be a 1-Lipschitz homotopy equivalence of a hyperbolic surface X into a hyperbolic 3-manifold M . If α is a homotopy class of simple closed curve on X then the length of the geodesic representative of α on X bounds the length of its geodesic representative in M . As a result, geometric features of hyperbolic surfaces can be used to control the geometry of 3-manifolds (cf. [Th2], [Min1], [Min2], [BCM]).

Two standard constructions of such maps are Thurston's *pleated surfaces* and the related *simplicial hyperbolic surfaces*, also introduced in [Th1] and used extensively by Bonahon [Bon1] and Canary [Can]. Though we will employ both constructions, we need only the consequences rather than the construction itself.

Theorem 8.7 (Canary) *Let S be a closed surface and let $M \in AH(S)$. Let x and y be points in the convex core of M . We then have a homotopy $g_t: X_t \rightarrow M$ with the following properties.*

1. *The family X_t gives a continuously varying family of hyperbolic metrics on S .*
2. *The maps g_t are 1-Lipschitz.*
3. *The point x lies in $g_0(X_0)$ and y lies in $g_1(X_1)$.*

In particular, for any point x in the convex core of M , there is a 1-Lipschitz map of a hyperbolic surface into M whose image contains x .

The previous result can be proven using simplicial hyperbolic surfaces. For the following, one needs pleated surfaces directly. We use this result only in Corollary 8.15.

Proposition 8.8 *Let α^* be a closed geodesic in $M \in AH(S)$ that is homotopic to a simple closed curve on S . Then there is a 1-Lipschitz map $X \rightarrow M$ of a hyperbolic surface X that restricts to an isometry from the geodesic representative of α on X to α^* .*

In this case we say that X *realizes* α .

The following lemma recapitulates a standard fact for pleated surfaces (see [Th2]) in the setting of Lipschitz homotopy equivalences of hyperbolic surfaces and 3 manifolds. It will be useful to know this for arbitrary Lipschitz constants.

Lemma 8.9 *Given $\epsilon > 0$ and $R > 0$ there exists $\epsilon' > 0$ such that if $f: X \rightarrow M$ is a B -Lipschitz homotopy equivalence of an ϵ -thick surface into a hyperbolic 3-manifold M and p is a point with $f(p) \in M^{\leq \epsilon'}$ then we have $p \in X^{\leq \epsilon}$.*

Proof. By Lemma 8.3, the diameter of each component of $X^{>\epsilon}$ is bounded by a constant D that only depends on S and ϵ . Therefore the f -image of each component of $X^{\geq\epsilon}$ has diameter less than BD . By a theorem of Brooks and Matelski (see [BM]), we may choose $\epsilon' < \epsilon_3$ small enough such that the distance between the boundaries ϵ_3 -thin and the ϵ' -thin part is at least BD . Every component of $X^{>\epsilon}$ has non-abelian fundamental group while every component of $M^{\leq\epsilon_3}$ has abelian fundamental group. Since f is π_1 -injective, the f -image of a component of $X^{>\epsilon}$ must intersect $M^{>\epsilon_3}$ and is therefore disjoint from $M^{\leq\epsilon'}$.

8.9

Mumford's compactness theorem (see [Mum]) guarantees that any sequence of thick surfaces in $\text{Teich}(S)$ can be re-marked to converge in $\text{Teich}(S)$ up to subsequence; the following shows the same is true for $M_n \in AH(S)$ with uniformly Lipschitz markings by thick surfaces.

Proposition 8.10 *Let $\epsilon > 0$ be given.*

1. *For each sequence $\{X_n\}$ of ϵ -thick surfaces there are markings $f_n: S \rightarrow X_n$ such that (f_n, X_n) converges in $\text{Teich}(S)$.*
2. *Let (f_n, X_n) be a convergent sequence in $\text{Teich}(S)$ and $g_n: X_n \rightarrow M_n$ B -Lipschitz homotopy equivalences to hyperbolic 3-manifolds M_n . Then $\{(g_n \circ f_n, M_n)\}$ has a convergent subsequence.*

Proof. Statement (1) is a restatement of Mumford's compactness theorem for the Moduli space $\mathcal{M}(S)$ [Mum]. To see statement (2), note that as sequence (f_n, X_n) converges we can place a hyperbolic metric on S such that the marking maps f_n are B' -Lipschitz for some $B' > 1$. Then the maps $h_n = g_n \circ f_n$ are BB' -Lipschitz.

Pick a point $p \in S$ and let $\tilde{p} \in \tilde{S} = \mathbb{H}^2$ be a point in the pre-image of p . Identifying each $\tilde{M}_n$ with $\mathbb{H}^3$, we choose lifts of h_n such that $\tilde{h}_n(\tilde{p}) = 0 \in \mathbb{H}^3$. Since h_n are BB' -Lipschitz it follows that for any $q \in \tilde{S}$, the set $\{\tilde{h}_n(q)\}$ has compact closure in $\mathbb{H}^3$. By the Arzela-Ascoli theorem there exists a subsequence such that $\tilde{h}_n$ converges uniformly on compact sets to a map $\tilde{h}_\infty: \tilde{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^3$. The action of $\pi_1(S)$ on $\tilde{S}$ commutes with the action of a representation of $\pi_1(S)$ in $\text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ so that $\tilde{h}_\infty$ descends to a pair (h_∞, M_∞) where M_∞ is the quotient 3-manifold.

8.10

8.2 Margulis' estimates

Let M be a hyperbolic manifold in $AH(S)$ and Γ a subset of M . Let $\#(\Gamma, L)$ be the number of homotopy classes of essential primitive loops of length less than L that intersect K such that each loop is homotopic to a simple closed curve on S .

Lemma 8.11 *Given $L > 0$ and $D > 0$ there is a $N > 0$ such that the following holds. Let $M \in AH(S)$ and let $\Gamma \subset M$ be a subset of diameter at most D . Then the number of distinct essential homotopy classes of loops intersecting Γ is bounded by N .*

Proof. By [BM] there exists an $\epsilon > 0$ such that the distance between $\partial M^{\leq \epsilon}$ and $\partial M^{\geq \epsilon_3}$ is at least $D + L$ where ϵ is less than the 3-dimensional Margulis constant ϵ_3 .

The proof then breaks into two cases. First assume that Γ intersects $M^{\leq \epsilon}$. Then every loop of length at most L that intersects Γ will be contained in a component of $M^{\leq \epsilon_3}$. Since M lies in $AH(S)$, M has no rank-two cusps and every component of $M^{\leq \epsilon_3}$ contains one essential primitive loop so we have $\#(\Gamma, L) \leq 1$.

Now we assume that there is a point x in $\Gamma \cap M^{> \epsilon}$. Any loop of length at most L that intersects Γ will be homotopic to a loop of length at most $L + 2D$ that intersects x . The number of distinct homotopy classes of loops of length at most $L + 2D$ that intersect x is bounded by the quotient

$$V = \frac{\text{vol}(B_{\mathbb{H}^3}(0, L + 2D + \epsilon))}{\text{vol}(B_{\mathbb{H}^3}(0, \epsilon))}$$

of the volumes of balls of radius $L + 2D + \epsilon$ and ϵ about the origin in hyperbolic space $\mathbb{H}^3$. 8.11

8.3 Geometric limit arguments

Proposition 8.12 *Let (M_n, ω_n) be hyperbolic 3-manifolds homotopy equivalent to S that converge geometrically to $(M_\infty, \omega_\infty)$. Assume there exists $\epsilon > 0$ and $R_n \rightarrow \infty$ such that the R_n -neighborhood of ω_n in M_n is ϵ -thick. Then M_∞ is homotopy equivalent to S , and the restriction of the approximating maps to any compact core of M_∞ are homotopy equivalences for large n .*

Proof. By Theorem 8.7 there is a hyperbolic surface X_n and a 1-Lipschitz map $g_n : X_n \rightarrow M_n$ whose image contains ω_n . Let q_n be a point in X_n with $g_n(q_n) = \omega_n$. Since g_n is 1-Lipschitz, an R_n -neighborhood of q_n in X_n will be ϵ -thick as well. There is a constant K depending only on ϵ and the genus of S such that if a hyperbolic structure X on S has ϵ -thick neighborhood of radius at least K then X itself is ϵ -thick. In particular for large n the surfaces X_n are themselves ϵ -thick.

We now apply Proposition 8.10 to find homeomorphisms $f_n : S \rightarrow X_n$ such that $\{(f_n, X_n)\}$ converges in Teichmüller space and $\{(g_n \circ f_n, M_n)\}$ converges in $AH(S)$. To show the sequence converges strongly it suffices to verify it is type-preserving by an application of Theorem 8.6. After an isotopy, we can assume there is a fixed point $x \in S$ such that $f_n(x) = q_n$. Let α be a non-trivial loop in S based at x . Since $\{(f_n, X_n)\}$ converges we can homotope the

f_n rel x so that the loops $f_n(\alpha)$ have length bounded above by a constant only depending on the homotopy class of α rel x . Since each g_n is 1-Lipschitz, the lengths of the loops $g_n \circ f_n(\alpha)$ are also uniformly bounded. If the sequence is not type-preserving there will be some α such that the length of the geodesic representative of $g_n \circ f_n(\alpha)$ tends to zero. In particular, for large n the curve $g_n \circ f_n(\alpha)$ will be homotopic into a component of the ϵ -thin part of M_n . There is then a bound on the distance from $g_n \circ f_n(\alpha)$ to this component of the thin part where the bound only depends on the length of $g_n \circ f_n(\alpha)$. But for large n the R_n -neighborhood $\mathcal{N}_{R_n}(\omega_n)$ of ω_n has non-empty intersection with this component of the ϵ -thin part, contradicting our assumption that $\mathcal{N}_{R_n}(\omega_n)$ lies in $M_n^{\geq \epsilon}$.

It follows that the sequence $(g_n \circ f_n, M_n)$ is type-preserving, and by Theorem 8.6 the convergence is strong. The proposition then follows. 8.12

Proposition 8.13 *Given positive constants L and ϵ , there exist R and C so that the following holds. Let $M \in AH(S)$, and α and β curves in $\mathcal{C}(S)$. Let α^* and β^* be loops based at ω in the convex core of M in the homotopy class of α and β , respectively, and assume that $\ell_M(\alpha^*) \leq L$, $\ell_M(\beta^*) \leq L$ and the neighborhood $\mathcal{N}_R(\omega)$ of radius R about ω has injectivity radius bounded below by ϵ . Then we have*

$$d_{\mathcal{C}}(\alpha, \beta) \leq C.$$

Proof. We argue by contradiction. Assume there is a sequence (M_n, ω_n) of hyperbolic manifolds with baseframes such that $\mathcal{N}_{R_n}(\omega_n)$ is ϵ -thick, $R_n \rightarrow \infty$, and that α_n and β_n are homotopy classes in $\mathcal{C}(S)$ represented by closed loops α_n^* and β_n^* in M_n based at ω_n of length at most L for which $d_{\mathcal{C}}(\alpha_n, \beta_n) \rightarrow \infty$.

After passing to a subsequence, (M_n, ω_n) converges geometrically to a manifold $(M_\infty, \omega_\infty)$. By Proposition 8.12, $(M_\infty, \omega_\infty)$ is homotopy equivalent to S , and the approximating maps are homotopy equivalences for large n . Choosing a compact core K of M_∞ that contains a diameter $4L$ neighborhood of ω_∞ , there are 2-bi-Lipschitz approximating maps $\phi_n: K \rightarrow M_n$ for large n such that ϕ_n are homotopy equivalences.

The image of K under ϕ_n will contain α_n^* and β_n^* so $\phi_n^{-1}(\alpha_n^*)$ and $\phi_n^{-1}(\beta_n^*)$ are loops in M_∞ of length at most $2L$. Since K is compact, there are only finitely many free homotopy classes of loops in K of length at most $2L$. This finite set of loops has finite diameter in $\mathcal{C}(S)$. Since ϕ_n is a homotopy equivalence, we conclude $d_{\mathcal{C}}(\alpha_n, \beta_n)$ is uniformly bounded, contrary to our assumption. 8.13

Proposition 8.14 *Given $\epsilon_1 > 0$, let $f: X \rightarrow M$ be a 1-Lipschitz homotopy equivalence of a hyperbolic surface X into a hyperbolic 3-manifold M , such that that X is ϵ_1 -thick. Then for each $R > 0$ there is an $L > 0$ so that if each $\gamma \in \mathbf{short}_\epsilon(M)$ satisfies $\ell_X(\gamma) > L$ then the R -neighborhood $\mathcal{N}_R(X)$ is ϵ -thick.*

Proof. Again we argue by contradiction and assume that we have a sequence $\{g_n: X_n \rightarrow M_n\}$ of 1-Lipschitz homotopy equivalences with the property that the infimum of $\ell_{X_n}(\gamma)$ over all $\gamma \in \mathbf{short}_\epsilon(M_n)$ is at least $L_n \rightarrow \infty$, but the R -ball about X_n is not ϵ -thick for any n .

By Proposition 8.10, there are markings $f_n: S \rightarrow X_n$ so that after passing to a subsequence $\{(f_n, X_n)\}$ converges in $\text{Teich}(S)$ and $\{(g_n \circ f_n, M_n)\}$ converges in $AH(S)$. Assume $\gamma \in \mathcal{C}(S)$ has $\ell_{M_n}(\gamma) \rightarrow 0$. Then γ lies in $\mathbf{short}_\epsilon(M_n)$ for large n , so we have

$$\ell_{X_n}(\gamma) \rightarrow \infty.$$

On the other hand, convergence of $\{(f_n, X_n)\}$ in $\text{Teich}(S)$, implies $\ell_{X_n}(\gamma)$ converges, a contradiction to the assumption that the convergence of M_n in $AH(S)$ is type-preserving.

By Theorem 8.6, the manifolds M_n converge strongly, to M_∞ . Further, M_∞ is ϵ -thick, since any γ in $\mathbf{short}_\epsilon(M_\infty)$ lies in $\mathbf{short}_\epsilon(M_n)$ for n sufficiently large. This contradicts the assumption that the lengths on X_n of short curves in M_n are divergent, completing the proof. 8.14

Corollary 8.15 *Given R , L and ϵ there are C and D so that the following holds: let α^* be a loop in a manifold $M \in AH(S)$ in the homotopy class of $\alpha \in \mathcal{C}(S)$. Assume that length of α^* is at most L and that the R -neighborhood of α^* is not ϵ -thick. Then there is a curve $\beta \in \mathbf{short}_\epsilon(M)$ satisfying*

$$d_{\mathcal{C}}(\alpha, \beta) < C$$

with the property that $d_M(\mathbb{T}(\alpha), \mathbb{T}(\beta)) \leq D$.

Proof. If α^* is not a geodesic then it is either uniformly close to its geodesic representative or α is in $\mathbf{short}_\epsilon(M)$. In the latter case, we may take $\beta = \alpha$ and we are done. Thus we can assume that α^* is a geodesic and consider 1-Lipschitz hyperbolic surface $f: X \rightarrow M$ realizing α^* .

If the surface X fails to be ϵ -thick itself, then the theorem follows trivially from Lemmas 8.1 and 8.9. Thus we may assume that X is ϵ -thick.

Applying Proposition 8.14, given R there is an L' so that if the R -ball about X fails to be ϵ -thick there is a curve $\beta \in \mathcal{C}(S)$ so that $\ell_X(\beta) < L'$. Since α has length at most L on X , by Lemma 8.1 there is a C depending on $\max\{L, L'\}$ with the property that

$$d_{\mathcal{C}}(\alpha, \beta) < C.$$

Since X is itself ϵ -thick, there is a uniform bound depending only on ϵ and the genus of X for the diameter of X . Hence there is a uniform bound on the distance between the geodesic representatives of α and β on X . For any loop γ in M of length at most $\max\{L, L'\}$ there is a bound, depending only on $\max\{L, L'\}$, on $d_M(\gamma, \mathbb{T}(\gamma))$. Combining the two bounds gives the result. 8.15

We can now prove the main theorem of this section, providing a linear lower bound on the distance between two bounded length curves in a hyperbolic manifold in terms of the distance of the curves in the curve complex. By the Margulis lemma, a short curve will have a large tubular neighborhood and therefore lie at large distance from the geodesic representatives of every other bounded length curve. In this case we will prove a stronger statement and bound the distance between the Margulis tubes. For this reason, we define $\mathbb{T}'_\epsilon(\gamma) = \mathbb{T}_\epsilon(\gamma)$ if $\ell_M(\gamma) < \epsilon$ and let $\mathbb{T}'_\epsilon(\gamma)$ be the geodesic representative of γ in M if $\ell_M(\gamma) \geq \epsilon$.

Theorem 8.16 *Given $L > 0$ there exist K_1 and K_2 all positive so that for α and β in $\mathcal{C}^0(S)$, and $M \in AH(S)$, the following holds: if $\ell_M(\alpha) < L$ and $\ell_M(\beta) < L$, then*

$$d_M(\mathbb{T}'_{\epsilon_3}(\alpha), \mathbb{T}'_{\epsilon_3}(\beta)) \geq K_1 d_{\mathcal{C}}(\alpha, \beta) - K_2.$$

Remark. We point out that Theorem 8.16 uses in an essential way the fact that S is a closed surface. If S has boundary, the same statement holds if we measure distance in the *pared manifold* M^0 obtained by excising cusps associated to ∂S . All the results of the paper would then generalize in the presence of the appropriate generalization of the geometric inflexibility theorem (Theorem 5.6) to this pared setting.

Before we begin the proof of Theorem 8.16 we make a definition and prove a preliminary lemma. A *D-coarse path* in $\mathcal{C}(S)$ is a sequence of α_i in $\mathcal{C}^0(S)$ such that $d_{\mathcal{C}}(\alpha_i, \alpha_{i+1}) \leq D$.

Lemma 8.17 *Given $L > 0$ there exists a $D > 0$ and $R > 0$ such that the following holds. Let α and β lie in $\mathcal{C}^0(S)$ and $M \in AH(S)$. Let Γ be a path in M from $\mathbb{T}'_{\epsilon_3}(\alpha)$ to $\mathbb{T}'_{\epsilon_3}(\beta)$. Then there are closed curves α_i with $\ell_M(\alpha_i) \leq L_g$ and $d_M(\alpha_i, \Gamma) < R$ such that the curves α_i describe a D -coarse path in $\mathcal{C}(S)$ from α to β .*

Proof. Let x be the endpoint of Γ on $\mathbb{T}'_{\epsilon_3}(\alpha)$ and y the endpoint of Γ on $\mathbb{T}'_{\epsilon_3}(\beta)$. Let $g_t : Z_t \rightarrow M$, $t \in [0, 1]$ be a continuous family of 1-Lipschitz maps of hyperbolic surfaces Z_t such that $x \in g_0(Z_0)$ and $y \in g_1(Z_1)$. Such an interpolation exists by Theorem 8.7.

There is a subinterval $[a, b] \subseteq [0, 1]$ such that $x \in g_a(Z_a)$, $y \in g_b(Z_b)$ and $g_t(Z_t) \cap \Gamma \neq \emptyset$ for all $t \in [a, b]$. Reparameterize $[a, b]$ to be the interval $[0, 1]$ and replace the original homotopy with this reparametrized homotopy.

Given a simple closed curve γ on S let $U(\gamma) \subseteq [0, 1]$ be the set of t such that there is a simple closed curve γ' on Z_t , homotopic to γ , with $\gamma' \cap g_t^{-1}(\Gamma) \neq \emptyset$ and $\ell_{g_t}(\gamma') < L_g$. By (2) of Lemma 8.1, if $U(\gamma) \cap U(\gamma') \neq \emptyset$ then

$$d_{\mathcal{C}}(\gamma, \gamma') \leq C. \tag{8.2}$$

Let z be a point in $g_t^{-1}(\Gamma)$. By Lemma 8.1 for each t there exists $\gamma \in \mathcal{C}(S)$ such that $t \in U(\gamma)$. The open (possibly disconnected) subsets $U(\gamma)$ cover $[0, 1]$

so we can find a collection $\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_n$ of distinct homotopy classes of simple closed curves in $\mathcal{C}(S)$ such that the $U(\alpha_i)$ satisfy

$$U(\alpha_i) \cap U(\alpha_{i+1}) \neq \emptyset \quad (8.3)$$

with $0 \in U(\alpha_0)$ and $1 \in U(\alpha_n)$. In particular the α_i are a C -coarse path. To finish the proof we need to show that α and β are uniformly close to α_0 and α_n , respectively.

To see this we observe that if $\ell_M(\alpha)$ is sufficiently small then Lemma 8.9 guarantees that if $0 \in U(\gamma)$ we have $\gamma = \alpha$. On the other hand if α has a sufficiently large thick neighborhood then Proposition 8.13 implies that if $0 \in U(\gamma)$ then α and γ are uniformly close in $\mathcal{C}(S)$. If neither of these cases holds, an application of Corollary 8.15 allows us to replace α with a curve α' that is sufficiently short and such that α and α' are uniformly close in M and their corresponding vertices are uniformly close in $\mathcal{C}(S)$. We then append to Γ a geodesic segment of length at most R connecting x to $\mathbb{T}'_{\varepsilon_3}(\alpha')$ to make a new path Γ' and apply the previous argument to Γ' . This process yields a coarse path $\{\alpha_i\}$ with $\alpha_0 = \alpha'$ such that the α_i have representatives in M of length at most L_g and so that each α_i intersects Γ' .

Applying the same analysis to β we obtain the desired coarse path. 8.17

Remark. By the Bers inequality (see [Brs2, Thm. 3], [Mc1, Prop. 6.4]) given the quasi-Fuchsian manifold $Q(X, Y)$ we have $\ell_{Q(X, Y)}(\gamma) \leq 2\ell_X(\gamma)$. Therefore there is a uniform D , depending only on S , such that there is a D -coarse path from X to Y in $\mathcal{C}(S)$ whose lengths in $Q(X, Y)$ are at most L_g .

Proof of 8.16. Let Γ be the shortest geodesic from $\mathbb{T}'_{\varepsilon_3}(\alpha)$ to $\mathbb{T}'_{\varepsilon_3}(\beta)$ so that $d_M(\mathbb{T}'_{\varepsilon_3}(\alpha), \mathbb{T}'_{\varepsilon_3}(\beta)) = \ell(\Gamma)$. By Lemma 8.17 we have $\#(\Gamma, L_g + 2R) \geq n$ where $D(n+1) \geq d_{\mathcal{C}}(\alpha, \beta)$.

The path Γ can be divided into $\lfloor \ell(\Gamma) \rfloor$ disjoint segments of length 1 and one segment of length at most 1. Let K be the constant given by Lemma 8.11 for the length bound $L_g + 2R$ and the diameter bound 1. Then each of the $\lfloor \ell(\Gamma) \rfloor + 1 = \lceil \ell(\Gamma) \rceil$ segments intersects at most K disjoint homotopy classes of closed curves of length at most $L_g + 2R$ and therefore

$$K(\ell(\Gamma) + 1) \geq K \lceil \ell(\Gamma) \rceil \geq n.$$

Combining this inequality with a lower bound on n we have

$$K(\ell(\Gamma) + 1) \geq \frac{d_{\mathcal{C}}(\alpha, \beta)}{D} - 1$$

and the theorem is proved. 8.16

Remark. Note that if α is a closed curve in M of length at most L then the distance between α and $\mathbb{T}'_{\varepsilon_3}(\alpha)$ is uniformly bounded by a constant only depending on L . In particular Theorem 8.16 holds if we replace $\mathbb{T}'_{\varepsilon_3}(\alpha)$ with any curve of length at most L .

Corollary 8.18 *Given a closed surface S , there is linear function f such that the distance between the boundary components of the convex core $C(Q(X, Y))$ of a quasifuchsian manifold $Q(X, Y)$ in $QF(S)$ is bounded below by $f(d_C(X, Y))$.*

Proof. Let $\alpha \in \mathcal{C}(S)$ have length on X of at most the Bers constant L_g , and choose $\beta \in \mathcal{C}(S)$ similarly for Y . Let α^* and β^* be the geodesic representatives of α and β , respectively, in $Q(X, Y)$. By the Bers inequality α^* and β^* have length at most $2L_g$. Every closed geodesic is contained in the convex core $C(Q(X, Y))$ so there are hyperbolic surfaces Z_α and Z_β and 1-Lipschitz homotopy equivalences, $f_\alpha: Z_\alpha \rightarrow Q(X, Y)$ and $f_\beta: Z_\beta \rightarrow Q(X, Y)$, realizing α^* and β^* , respectively. These maps will have image in the convex core and each separates the two components $\partial C(Q(X, Y))$.

Let Γ be the shortest geodesic connecting the two components of the convex core boundary. Let x be a point of intersection of Γ with the image of Z_α and y a point of intersection of Γ with the image of Z_β . There is a curve $\alpha_0 \in \mathcal{C}(S)$ such that α_0 has a representative on Z_α of length at most L_g and whose image α_0^* intersects x . Note that α_0^* will have length at most L_g constant and, by (2) of Lemma 8.1, there is a constant D such that $d_{\mathcal{C}(S)}(\alpha, \alpha_0) \leq D$. Similarly, we can find a curve $\beta_0 \in \mathcal{C}(S)$ that is represented by a loop β_0^* that intersects $y \in Q(X, Y)$, of length at most the Bers constant and with $d_C(\beta, \beta_0) \leq D$.

We want to find a lower bound for $\ell(\Gamma)$. We observe that

$$d_{Q(X, Y)}(\alpha_0^*, \beta_0^*) \leq \ell(\Gamma) + L_g$$

and that

$$d_{\mathcal{C}(S)}(X, Y) = d_{\mathcal{C}(S)}(\alpha, \beta) \leq d_{\mathcal{C}(S)}(\alpha_0, \beta_0) + 2D.$$

The result then follows from Theorem 8.16. 8.18

The following Corollary controls the depth of a given curve in the convex core. We leave the proof, which follows the same lines as the above, to the reader.

Corollary 8.19 *Given a closed surface S and $L > 0$ there is a linear function f_L such that if $\gamma \in \mathcal{C}(S)$ and the length of γ is at most L then the distance from the geodesic representative of γ in $Q(X, Y)$ to the boundary of the convex core is at least*

$$f_L(\min\{d_C(X, \mathbb{T}'_{\varepsilon_3}(\gamma)), d_C(Y, \mathbb{T}'_{\varepsilon_3}(\gamma))\}).$$

9 Pseudo-Anosov double limits

In this section, we employ the estimates on depth in the convex core from the previous section together with the inflexibility theorems of previous sections to establish the convergence of pseudo-Anosov double iteration on quasi-Fuchsian space of a closed surface. The hyperbolization theorem for 3-manifolds that fiber over the circle follows as a consequence.

The following Theorem is a refined version of a Theorem of Masur and Minsky (see [MM, Prop. 3.6]). The proof that follows was communicated to us by M. Bestvina who attributes the argument to F. Luo. (See [BeFu, Prop. 11]).

Theorem 9.1 *Let $\psi \in \text{Mod}(S)$ be pseudo-Anosov with $[\mu^+]$ and $[\mu^-]$ the attracting and repelling laminations in $\mathcal{PML}(S)$. Then there is a K_ψ depending only on ψ so that for any $B, D > 0$ the following holds.*

1. *There exist neighborhoods V and U of $[\mu^+]$ and $[\mu^-]$ in $\mathcal{PML}(S)$ so that for any $\alpha \in V$ and $\beta \in U$ we have*

$$d_{\mathcal{C}}(\alpha, \psi^n(\beta)) \geq K_\psi n + B.$$

2. *There exists a subset W in $\mathcal{PML}(S) \setminus (U \cup V)$ such that any path in $\mathcal{C}(S)$ from a curve in U to a curve in V contains a subpath of length at least D .*
3. *For each $\alpha \in U$, $\beta \in V$ and $\gamma \in W$ we have*

$$d_{\mathcal{C}}(\gamma, \psi^{-n}(\alpha)) \geq K_\psi n + B \quad \text{and} \quad d_{\mathcal{C}}(\gamma, \psi^n(\beta)) \geq K_\psi n + B.$$

4. *Furthermore, given any curve β , the sets U , V , and W may be taken so that any one of them contains β .*

Proof. Let V' and U' be neighborhoods of $[\mu^+]$ and $[\mu^-]$ in $\mathcal{PML}(S)$ such that for simple closed curves $\alpha \in V'$ and $\beta' \in U'$ the intersection of α and β' is non-empty. Then V' and U' are necessarily disjoint, and we let

$$W' = \mathcal{PML}(S) \setminus (V' \cup U')$$

be their complement in $\mathcal{PML}(S)$. Then the north-south dynamics of ψ guarantees that for any compact subset $\mathcal{K}$ of $\mathcal{PML}(S)$ that does not contain $[\mu^+]$ we have $\psi^i(U')$ contains $\mathcal{K}$ for some positive i . In particular, since $W' \cup V'$ is compact there is an N so that we have $\psi^N(W' \cup V') \subset V'$.

We claim that for any curves $\alpha \in \psi^{mN}(V')$ and $\beta \in U'$ we have

$$d_{\mathcal{C}}(\alpha, \beta) \geq m + 1. \tag{9.4}$$

We first note that if $\alpha \in \psi^i(V')$ and $\beta \in \psi^i(U')$ then any geodesic in the curve complex connecting them will contain a curve in $\psi^i(W')$, the complement of the two sets, and the distance between α and β will be greater than 2. We also note that $\psi^{(m+1)N}(W') \subset \psi^{mN}(V')$.

We prove the inequality by induction. By the observation above, the inequality (9.4) is true when $m = 1$. To complete the induction, note that we have $\psi^i(U') \subset \psi^{i+N}(U')$. Therefore U' lies in $\psi^{(m+1)N}(U')$ and β is in $\psi^{(m+1)N}(U')$. Any curve complex geodesic connecting β to α will therefore contain a curve γ in $\psi^{(m+1)N}(W')$. Since $\psi^{(m+1)N}(W')$ is contained in $\psi^{mN}(V')$ the curve γ is

contained in $\psi^{mN}(V')$, and we have $d_{\mathcal{C}}(\beta, \gamma) \geq m + 1$ by induction. But since γ lies on a geodesic joining α to β , we have

$$\begin{aligned} d_{\mathcal{C}}(\alpha, \beta) &= d_{\mathcal{C}}(\alpha, \gamma) + d_{\mathcal{C}}(\gamma, \beta) \\ &\geq m + 2 \end{aligned}$$

completing the induction.

Let $V_0 = \cup_{i=0}^{N-1} \psi^i(V')$. There is an $M > 0$ such that $\psi^{MN}(V_0) \subset V'$. Let n be an integer greater than M and assume k is a non-negative integer less than N . We then observe that

$$\psi^{nN+k}(V') \subset \psi^{nN}(V_0) \subset \psi^{n-M}(V')$$

and therefore

$$d_{\mathcal{C}}(\alpha, \beta) \geq n - M + 1$$

for any $\alpha \in \psi^{nN+k}(V')$ and $\beta \in U'$. Alternatively if $\alpha \in \psi^n(V')$ and $\beta \in U'$ then

$$d_{\mathcal{C}}(\alpha, \beta) \geq \left\lfloor \frac{n}{N} \right\rfloor - M + 1 \geq \frac{n}{N} - M.$$

We now set $V'' = \psi^{(B+M)N}(V')$. Any $\alpha \in V''$ has image $\psi^n(\alpha)$ lying in $\psi^{(B+M)N+n}(V')$ so we have

$$d_{\mathcal{C}}(\psi^n(\alpha), \beta) \geq \frac{(B+M)N+n}{N} - M = \frac{n}{N} + B$$

and U' and V'' satisfy (1).

We may assume that $B > D$ and let $W = \mathcal{PML}(S) \setminus (U' \cup V'')$ so that any path from U' to V'' contains a subpath of length at least D . Let $N' = (B+M+1)N$ and let $U = \psi^{-N'}(U')$ and $V = \psi^{N'}(V'')$. Since $U \subset U'$ and $V \subset V''$ (2) will still hold U , V and W . We also note that the sets U and $\psi^{-2N'}(V)$ will satisfy (1) and W is contained in $\psi^{-2N'}(V)$. A similar statement holds for $\psi^{2N'}(U)$ and V with W contained in $\psi^{2N'}(V)$. Therefore (3) will hold.

For (4) we note that we can replace U , V and W with $\psi^n(U)$, $\psi^n(V)$ and $\psi^n(W)$ for any integer n . We also note that

$$\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \psi^n(V) = \mathcal{PML}(S) \setminus [\mu^+] \quad \text{and} \quad \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \psi^n(U) = \mathcal{PML}(S) \setminus [\mu^-]$$

and that

$$\begin{aligned} \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \psi^n(W) &= \mathcal{PML}(S) \setminus \left(\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \psi^n(V) \cup \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \psi^n(U) \right) \\ &= \mathcal{PML}(S) \setminus \{[\mu^+], [\mu^-]\}. \end{aligned}$$

If we want a fixed curve β to be in U we choose n large enough such that β is in $\psi^n(U)$ and then replace U with $\psi^n(U)$, V with $\psi^n(V)$ and W with $\psi^n(W)$.

9.1

9.1 Convergence of iteration

Let S be a closed surface. Given $Y \in \text{Teich}(S)$, the *Bers slice*

$$B_Y = \{Q(X, Y) : X \in \text{Teich}(S)\} \subset AH(S)$$

parametrizes $\text{Teich}(S)$ by quasi-Fuchsian manifolds. Since the Bers slice B_Y has compact closure in $AH(S)$ [Brs2], the sequence $\{Q(\psi^{-n}(X), Y)\}_n$ will have a convergent subsequence. It was established in [Mc3] via a geometric limit argument that the sequence converges. We give a new proof as an example of our methods.

Theorem 9.2 *Let $\psi \in \text{Mod}(S)$ be a pseudo-Anosov mapping class. Then the iteration $\{Q(\psi^n(X), Y)\}_n$ converges in $AH(S)$.*

Proof. Let T_n be the distance between the components of the boundary of convex cores of $Q(\psi^n X, Y)$. Choose closed geodesics α on X and β on Y of length less than the Bers constant L_g for S . Then applying Theorem 9.1 there is a positive integer N , so that

$$d_C(\psi^{n+N}(\alpha), \beta) \geq Kn.$$

Since $\psi^{n+N}(\alpha)$ and β have lengths on $\psi^{n+N}(X)$ and Y (respectively) bounded by L_g , we have

$$d_C(\psi^{n+N}(X), Y) = d_C(\psi^{n+N}(\alpha), \beta) \geq Kn.$$

Applying Corollary 8.18, we have

$$T_n > K_1 n - K_2.$$

The pseudo-Anosov mapping class ψ is uniformly quasiconformal as a mapping from $\psi^n(X)$ to $\psi^{n+1}(X)$ (independent of n). Let d_n be the distance between $Q(\psi^n X, Y)$ and $Q(\psi^{n+1} X, Y)$ in the Bers slice $T(X)$. By Theorem 7.3 there are constants C_1 and C_2 such that

$$\begin{aligned} d_n &\leq C_1 e^{-C_2 T_n} \\ &\leq C_1 e^{-C_2(K_1 n - K_2)}. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that $Q(\psi^n(X), Y)$ is a Cauchy sequence and hence convergent. 9.2

9.2 Double Limits

We now examine the pseudo-Anosov double iteration

$$Q_n = Q(\psi^{-n}(X), \psi^n(Y)).$$

Thurston's *double limit theorem* [Th2] guarantees that the sequence has a convergent subsequence. In [CT] a proof of convergence is outlined that uses the Mostow rigidity theorem – in [Mc3], McMullen showed convergence explicitly.

We will give a single unified proof of convergence independent of Thurston's double limit theorem and these other convergence results using the geometric inflexibility theorem proven here.

Theorem 9.3 (PSEUDO-ANOSOV DOUBLE LIMITS) *Given X, Y in $\text{Teich}(S)$, and a pseudo-Anosov mapping class $\psi \in \text{Mod}(S)$, the double iteration $\{Q_n\}_n$ converges strongly in $AH(S)$.*

For each n there is a K -quasi-conformal deformation from Q_n to Q_{n+1} where K bounds the Teichmüller distance from X to $\psi^{-1}(X)$ and from Y to $\psi(Y)$. Let $\phi_n : Q_n \rightarrow Q_{n+1}$ be the map given by Theorem 5.6. We note that in the application of the inflexibility theorems, the constants that arise in Theorem 5.6 and 5.8 depend on K but not on n .

We begin with a criterion to ensure that a curve γ to have a convergent sequence of geodesic lengths $\ell_{Q_n}(\gamma)$.

Proposition 9.4 *Given $L > 0$ there exists $B > 0$ so that the following holds: if given $\gamma \in \mathcal{C}^0(S)$ there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ for which $\ell_{Q_N}(\gamma) < L$ and for all n we have*

$$\min\{d_{\mathcal{C}}(\psi^{N+n}(Y), \gamma), d_{\mathcal{C}}(\psi^{-N-n}(X), \gamma)\} \geq K_{\psi}n + B$$

then there exists $\ell_{\infty} > 0$ so that $\ell_{Q_n}(\gamma) \rightarrow \ell_{\infty}$. Furthermore, we have

$$\ell_{Q_{N+n}}(\gamma) \leq 2\ell_{Q_N}(\gamma)$$

for all $n > 0$.

Proof. Let $\mathbf{depth}_Q(\gamma)$ denote the distance of $\mathbb{T}'_{\varepsilon_3}(\gamma)$ from the boundary of the convex core of Q . Let f_{2L} be the function given by Corollary 8.19 so that any curve β for which $\ell_Q(\beta) < 2L$ satisfies

$$\mathbf{depth}_Q(\beta) \geq f_{2L}(\min\{d_{\mathcal{C}}(X, \gamma), d_{\mathcal{C}}(\gamma, Y)\}).$$

Let $d_n = \mathbf{depth}_{Q_{N+n}}(\gamma)$. If $\ell_{Q_{N+n}}(\gamma) < 2L$, then, we have

$$d_n \geq f_{2L}(Kn + B).$$

Since $\ell_{Q_N}(\gamma) < L$, we know by assumption that

$$d_0 \geq f_{2L}(B).$$

Note that f_{2L} is an increasing function so we can make $f_{2L}(B)$ as large as we like through our choice of B .

Let

$$\ell_n = \ell_{Q_{N+n}}(\gamma).$$

If $\ell_n < 2L$ then by Theorem 5.8 there are constants C'_1 and C'_2 so that

$$\left| \log \left(\frac{\ell_{n+1}}{\ell_n} \right) \right| \leq C'_1 e^{-C'_2 d_n}. \quad (9.5)$$

Choose C_1 and C_2 such that

$$C_1 e^{-C_2 n} = C'_1 e^{-C'_2 f_{2L}(K_\psi n + B)}$$

(recall f_{2L} is linear). Since $C_1 = C'_1 e^{-C'_2 f_{2L}(B)}$ we can choose B such that

$$\sum_{j=0}^n C_1 e^{-C_2 j} \leq \frac{C_1}{1 - e^{-C_2}} \leq \log 2$$

from which it follows that

$$\left| \log \left(\frac{\ell_n}{\ell_0} \right) \right| < \log 2 \quad (9.6)$$

by a simple inductive argument. We conclude that $\ell_n < 2L$, and thus equation (9.5) holds for all n . It follows that $\{\ell_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence, and its convergence to $\ell_\infty > 0$ follows from (9.6). 9.4

We note the following corollary, which will play a role in establishing strong convergence of $\{Q_n\}$.

Corollary 9.5 *If Q_n has a subsequence that converges algebraically then for each $\gamma \in \mathcal{C}^0(S)$ the sequence $\ell_{Q_n}(\gamma)$ converges to a positive number.*

Proof. Algebraic convergence of the subsequence Q_{n_i} implies there is an L so that $\ell_{Q_{n_i}}(\gamma) < L$ for each n_i . Taking B as guaranteed by Proposition 9.4 we use Theorem 9.1 to choose subsets U , V and W of $\mathcal{PML}(S)$ with $\gamma \in W$ such that

$$\min\{d_{\mathcal{C}}(\psi^{N+n}(\beta), \gamma), d_{\mathcal{C}}(\psi^{-N-n}(\alpha), \gamma)\} \geq K_\psi n + B$$

for all $\alpha \in U$ and $\beta \in V$ for all $n \geq 0$. We then choose $N > 0$ such that if $\psi^N(X) \subset V$ and $\psi^N(Y) \subset U$. We then have

$$\min\{d_{\mathcal{C}}(\psi^{N+n}(Y), \gamma), d_{\mathcal{C}}(\psi^{-N-n}(X), \gamma)\} \geq K_\psi n + B$$

and by Theorem 9.4 we have that $\ell_{Q_n}(\gamma)$ converges to a positive number. 9.5

We now use Theorems 9.1 and 9.4 to find a pants decomposition whose lengths in Q_n converge.

Proposition 9.6 *There exists a pants decomposition $\mathcal{P}$ such that for every $\gamma \in \mathcal{P}$ the sequence $\ell_{Q_n}(\gamma)$ converges to a positive number.*

Proof. By Lemma 8.17 and the remark that follows it there is D -coarse path from $\psi^{-n}(X)$ to $\psi^n(Y)$ consisting of curves in $\mathcal{C}^0(S)$ whose lengths are at most L_g in $Q(\psi^{-n}(X), \psi^n(Y))$. Let B be the constant given by Proposition 9.4 where $L = L'_g$ is the Bers constant for a pants decomposition.

As in the proof of Corollary 9.5 we can find subsets U, V and W of $\mathcal{PML}(S)$ chosen with respect to the constants $B+1$ and D and a positive integer N such that

$$\min\{d_{\mathcal{C}}(\psi^{N+n}(Y), \gamma), d_{\mathcal{C}}(\psi^{-N-n}(X), \gamma)\} \geq K_{\psi}n + B + 1$$

for all $n \geq 0$ and $\gamma \in W$.

In our coarse path from $\psi^{-N}(X)$ to $\psi^N(Y)$ consisting of curves whose length is at most L_g in Q_N there is a curve $\gamma \in W$. Let $f : Z \rightarrow Q_N$ be a 1-Lipschitz surface realizing γ . We can then extend γ to a pants decomposition $\mathcal{P}$ on such that for all $\gamma' \in \mathcal{P}$ we have

$$L'_g > \ell_Z(\gamma') > \ell_{Q_N}(\gamma').$$

Since $d_{\mathcal{C}}(\gamma, \gamma') \leq 1$ we have

$$\min\{d_{\mathcal{C}}(\psi^{N+n}(Y), \gamma'), d_{\mathcal{C}}(\psi^{-N-n}(X), \gamma')\} \geq K_{\psi}n + B$$

for all $n \geq 0$. Then Theorem 9.4 implies that $\ell_{Q_n}(\gamma')$ converges for all $\gamma' \in \mathcal{P}$.

9.6

In the following proposition we will show that we have exponential decay of the bi-Lipschitz constant on the iterated image of sufficiently deep and thick subsets. The proof has the same basic structure as the proof of Proposition 9.4.

Proposition 9.7 *Given $\epsilon, R, L, C > 0$ there exist $B, C_1, C_2 > 0$ such that the following holds. Assume that $\mathcal{K}$ is a subset of Q_N such that $\text{diam}(\mathcal{K}) < R$, $\text{inj}_p(\mathcal{K}) > \epsilon$ for each $p \in \mathcal{K}$ and $\gamma \in \mathcal{C}^0(S)$ is represented by a closed curve in $\mathcal{K}$ of length at most L satisfying*

$$\min\{d_{\mathcal{C}}(\psi^{N+n}(Y), \gamma), d_{\mathcal{C}}(\psi^{-N-n}(X), \gamma)\} \geq K_{\psi}n + B$$

for all $n \geq 0$. Then we have

$$\log \text{bilip}(\phi_{N+n}, p) \leq C_1 e^{-C_2 n}$$

for p in $\phi_{N+n-1} \circ \dots \circ \phi_N \circ f(\mathcal{K})$ and

$$\frac{C_1}{1 - e^{-C_2}} < C.$$

Proof. As in the proof of Proposition 9.4, if $\mathcal{K}$ is a subset of $C(Q)$ we let $\text{depth}_Q(\mathcal{K})$ be defined by the distance from $\mathcal{K}$ to $\partial C(Q)$. Let $\Phi_n = \phi_{N+n} \circ \dots \circ \phi_N$.

Let $\epsilon_0 = \epsilon e^{-C}$. By [BM] there exists an ϵ_1 such that a point within ϵ of point of injectivity radius at least ϵ_0 will have injectivity radius at least ϵ_1 . Let C'_1 and C'_2 be the constants given by Theorem 5.6 for the thickness constant ϵ_1 . Let $f = f_{Le^C}$ be the linear function given by Corollary 8.19. We then define $d(n) = f(K_\psi n + B) - R - \epsilon$. Finally we choose C_1 and C_2 such that

$$C_1 e^{-C_2 n} = C'_1 e^{-C'_2 d(n)}.$$

Note that $C_1 = C'_1 e^{-C'_2 d(0)}$ and we can make $d(0)$ as large as we like through our choice of B . Therefore we can choose B such that

$$\frac{C_1}{1 - e^{-C_2}} < C.$$

With this setup it is now easy to complete the proof of the theorem via induction. Note that if $p \in \mathcal{K}$ then we have $\mathbf{depth}_{Q_N}(B_\epsilon(p)) > d(0)$ where $B_\epsilon(p)$ is the ball of radius ϵ centered at p . By Theorem 5.6 for all $q \in B_\epsilon(p)$ we have

$$\log \text{bilip}(\phi_N, q) < C_1.$$

In particular for every $p \in \mathcal{K}$ we have

$$\log \text{bilip}(\phi_N, p) < C_1$$

and every point in $\phi_N(\mathcal{K})$ has injectivity radius at least ϵe^{-C_1} .

Let

$$c_n = \sum_{i=0}^n C_1 e^{-C_2 i}$$

and note that

$$c_n < \frac{C_1}{1 - e^{-C_2}} < C.$$

Assume that the theorem holds for all i between 0 and n and that the injectivity radius of every point in $\Phi_i(\mathcal{K})$ is greater than $\epsilon e^{-c_i} > \epsilon e^{-C}$. Note that if p is in $\mathcal{K}$ then

$$\log \text{bilip}(\Phi_n, p) < c_n < C.$$

It follows that the length of $\Phi_n(\gamma)$ is $< 2L_g e^C$ and for every point p within ϵ of $\Phi_n(\mathcal{K})$ we have $\mathbf{depth}_{Q_{N+n}}(p) > d(n)$. We also note that the injectivity radius at p will be greater than ϵ_1 so we can apply Theorem 5.6 to see that

$$\log \text{bilip}(\phi_{N+n+1}, p) < C_1 e^{-C_2(n+1)}$$

and that at every point in $\phi_{N+n+1} \circ \Phi_n(\mathcal{K}) = \Phi_{n+1}(\mathcal{K})$ the injectivity radius is at least $\epsilon^{-c_{n+1}}$. This completes the proof of the induction hypothesis and the proposition. 9.7

Proposition 9.8 *There exists a positive integer N , positive constants C_1, C_2 and a 1-Lipschitz homotopy equivalence $f : Z \rightarrow Q_N$ so that for all points p in $\phi_{N+n-1} \circ \cdots \circ \phi_N \circ f(Z)$ we have*

$$\log \text{bilip}(\phi_{N+n}, p) < C_1 e^{-C_2 n}.$$

Proof. By Proposition 9.6 there exists a pants decomposition $\mathcal{P}$ such that $\ell_{Q_n}(\gamma)$ converges to a positive number for every $\gamma \in \mathcal{P}$. In particular there are constants $L^+ > L^- > 0$ such that $L^+ > \ell_{Q_n}(\gamma) > L^-$ for all n and $\gamma \in \mathcal{P}$. For each n let $f_n : Z_n \rightarrow Q_n$ be a 1-Lipschitz homotopy equivalence realizing $\mathcal{P}$. By the collar lemma, there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that any hyperbolic surface with a pants decomposition whose lengths are between L^- and L^+ is ϵ -thick. In particular the surfaces Z_n are ϵ -thick. We also note that there is an $R > 0$ such that an ϵ -thick surface has diameter bounded above by R .

By Lemma 8.9 there exists an $\epsilon' > 0$ such that $f_n(Z_n)$ is contained in the ϵ' -thick part of Q_n . Let B be the constant given by Proposition 9.7 for the constants ϵ', R, L^+ and $C = 2$. (Note that the choice of 2 is completely arbitrary and could be any number > 1 .) Using Theorem 9.1 we can find an integer N such that

$$\min\{d_{\mathcal{C}}(\psi^{N+n}(Y), \gamma), d_{\mathcal{C}}(\psi^{-N-n}(X), \gamma)\} \geq K_{\psi}n + B$$

where γ is a curve in $\mathcal{P}$. When then let $f = f_N$ and $Z = Z_N$ and proposition follows from Proposition 9.7. 9.8

We are now ready to prove the convergence of double iteration, Theorem 9.3.

Proof (*Proof of Theorem 9.3*). Let $f : Z \rightarrow Q_N$ be the 1-Lipschitz surface given by Proposition 9.8. Then the maps $f_n = \phi_{N+n-1} \circ \cdots \circ \phi_N \circ f$ are C -Lipschitz where

$$C = \frac{C_1}{1 - e^{-C_2}}.$$

By Proposition 8.10 the sequence $\{(f_n, Q_n)\}$ has a convergent subsequence $\{(f_{n_i}, Q_{n_i})\}$ in $AH(S) = AH(Z)$. Let $\{(f_{\infty}, Q_{\infty})\}$ be the limit. Note that from the proof of Proposition 8.10 we can assume that there are lifts $\tilde{f}_{n_i}$ that converge to $\tilde{f}_{\infty}$.

Since Corollary 9.5 guarantees the limit has no parabolics, Theorem 8.6 implies that the limit is strong. In particular if we pick a point p in Z and let $p_n = f_n(p)$ then the sequence $\{(Q_{n_i}, p_{n_i})\}$ will converge geometrically to (Q_{∞}, p_{∞}) for some point $p_{\infty} \in Q_{\infty}$. Furthermore if $\mathcal{K}$ is a compact set with $f_{\infty}(S) \subset \mathcal{K}$ and $g_{n_i} : (\mathcal{K}, p_{\infty}) \rightarrow (Q_{n_i}, p_{n_i})$ are approximating maps then f_{n_i} is homotopic to $g_{n_i} \circ f_{\infty}$.

We will show that the entire sequence $\{(Q_n, p_n)\}$ converges geometrically to (Q_{∞}, p_{∞}) . Let $\gamma \in \mathcal{C}^0(S)$ be a simple closed curve on S and represent it by a closed curve γ_{∞} in M_{∞} and let $L = \ell_{M_{\infty}}(\gamma_{\infty})$. Let $\mathcal{K}$ be a compact set in

M_∞ and assume that both p_∞ and γ_∞ is contained in $\mathcal{K}$. To show geometric convergence we need to show that for any $A > 0$ there exists e^A -bi-Lipschitz embeddings

$$g_n : (\mathcal{K}, p_\infty) \rightarrow (Q_n, p_n)$$

for n sufficiently large.

Let B, C_1 and C_2 be the constants given by Proposition 9.7 with respect to the constants $\epsilon e^{-A/2}, Re^{A/2}, Le^{A/2}$ and $A/2$. By Theorem 9.1 there exists an N_A such that

$$\min\{d_C(\psi^{N_A+n}(Y), \gamma), d_C(\psi^{-N_A-n}(X), \gamma)\} \geq K_\psi n + B.$$

Let $\mathcal{K}'$ be the closed ϵ -neighborhood of $\mathcal{K}$. By the strong convergence of the subsequence for n_i sufficiently large there is a $e^{A/2}$ -bi-Lipschitz embedding

$$g_{n_i} : (\mathcal{K}', p_\infty) \rightarrow (M_{n_i}, p_{n_i}).$$

Note that every point in $g_{n_i}(\mathcal{K})$ will have injectivity radius at least $\epsilon e^{-A/2}$, the diameter of $g_{n_i}(\mathcal{K})$ will be at most $Re^{A/2}$ and the length of $g_{n_i}(\gamma_\infty)$ will be at most $Le^{A/2}$. Since we can always replace N_A with a larger integer we can assume $N_A = n_i$ where n_i is part of the convergent subsequence. We now apply Theorem 9.7 to $g_{N_A}(\mathcal{K})$ which implies that $\phi_{N_A+n-1} \circ \dots \circ \phi_{N_A}$ is $e^{A/2}$ -bi-Lipschitz on $g_{N_A}(\mathcal{K})$. Therefore the composition

$$g_{N_A+n} = \phi_{N_A+n-1} \circ \dots \circ \phi_{N_A} \circ g_{N_A}$$

is e^A -bi-Lipschitz. Furthermore $g_n(p_\infty) = p_n$ so we have our desired bi-Lipschitz embeddings and $\{(Q_n, p_n)\}$ converges geometrically to (M_∞, p_∞) .

To see that the sequence also converges algebraically assume that $\mathcal{K}$ contains $f_\infty(S)$. We note that f_{n+1} is homotopic to $\phi_n \circ f_n$ and more generally f_{n+k} is homotopic to $\phi_{n+k} \circ \dots \circ \phi_n \circ f_n$. On the subsequence $\{n_i\}$ we already know that $g_{n_i} \circ f_\infty$ is homotopic to f_{n_i} . By the above fact, the composition $\phi_{N_A+n-1} \circ \dots \circ \phi_{N_A} \circ f_{N_A}$ is homotopic to f_{N_A+n-1} and in turn homotopic to $g_{N_A+n-1} \circ f_\infty$. If g_n are approximating maps for $\mathcal{K}$ whose bi-Lipschitz constant limits to 1 then by Lemma 8.5 we have that $(g_n \circ f_\infty, Q_n) \rightarrow (f_\infty, M_\infty)$. By the above remarks $(g_n \circ f_\infty, Q_n) \in [(f_n, Q_n)]$ and therefore $[(f_n, Q_n)]$ converges to $[(f_\infty, M_\infty)]$ algebraically. 9.3

We conclude with the proof of Theorem 1.3.

Theorem 1.3 (MAPPING TORUS HYPERBOLIC) *Let $\psi \in \text{Mod}(S)$ be pseudo-Anosov. Then the mapping torus $T_\psi = S \times [0, 1]/(x, 0) \sim (\psi(x), 1)$ admits a complete hyperbolic structure.*

Proof. We note that as $\text{Mod}(S)$ acts diagonally on quasi-Fuchsian space by re-marking, the manifolds Q_n and $\psi(Q_n)$ are isometric. Because we have

$$d(\psi^{-n+1}(X), \psi^{-n}(X)) = d(\psi(X), X) \quad \text{and} \quad d(\psi^{n+1}(Y), \psi^n(Y)) = d(\psi(Y), Y),$$

and

$$\psi(Q_n) = Q(\psi^{-n+1}(X), \psi^{n+1}(Y)),$$

there is a uniform K for which Q_n admits a K -bi-Lipschitz self-diffeomorphism

$$\Psi_n : Q_n \rightarrow Q_n$$

so that Ψ_n is in the homotopy class of ψ .

At this stage, one may simply follow Thurston's original approach and use the compactness of quasiconformal maps. In particular, the maps Ψ_n lift to $\mathbb{H}^3$ and extend to K' -quasiconformal maps

$$\tilde{\psi}_n : \widehat{\mathbb{C}} \longrightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$$

where K' only depends on K . After passing to a subsequence these maps will limit to a quasiconformal map $\tilde{\psi}_\infty$. Note that if $Q_n = \mathbb{H}^3/\Gamma_n$ then Γ_n and $\tilde{\psi}_n$ will generate a group of homeomorphisms of $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ isomorphic to $\pi_1(T_\psi)$ and therefore this property will hold in the limit. The Beltrami differential of $\tilde{\psi}_\infty$ will be Γ_∞ -invariant and since the limit set of Γ_∞ is all of $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$, Sullivan rigidity implies that $\tilde{\psi}_\infty$ is conformal. In particular the group $\hat{\Gamma}$ generated by Γ_∞ and $\tilde{\psi}_\infty$ acts conformally on $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$. It is not hard to show the discreteness of Γ_∞ in $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ implies that $\hat{\Gamma}$ is discrete and therefore we have a discrete subgroup of $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ that is isomorphic to $\pi_1(T_\psi)$. A theorem of Stallings implies that $\mathbb{H}^3/\hat{\Gamma}$ is homeomorphic to T_ψ . 1.3

Remark. Note that in Thurston's original proof there is a significant amount of extra work to show that the limit Q_∞ is doubly degenerate (See [Ot, Sec. 6.2]). In our approach the double degeneracy of Q_∞ is immediate. We can also, however, complete the proof using an approach that more directly follows the theme of this paper. We sketch the argument; the interested reader should be able to fill in the details. Using inflexibility and the fact that (Q_n, p_n) converges geometrically to (Q_∞, p_∞) we can extract a limiting isometry

$$\Psi_\infty : Q_\infty \longrightarrow Q_\infty$$

in the homotopy class of ψ as a limit directly. In particular, if $\mathcal{K}$ is a compact set in Q_∞ containing p_∞ with geometric limit mappings $g_n : (\mathcal{K}, p_\infty) \longrightarrow (Q_n, p_n)$, then $(g_n)^{-1} \circ \Psi_n \circ g_n$ converges up to subsequence to a uniformly bi-Lipschitz Ψ_∞ where the conjugating maps are defined. Inflexibility then shows that for any $\epsilon > 0$ and any $\mathcal{K}$ the maps Ψ_n can be taken to be $(1 + \epsilon)$ -bi-Lipschitz on $g_n(\mathcal{K})$ for n sufficiently large. Diagonalizing, the limit Ψ_∞ is an isometry. The quotient of Q_∞ by Ψ_∞ gives the desired hyperbolic structure on T_ψ .

References

- [Ag] I. Agol. Tameness of hyperbolic 3-manifolds. *Preprint*, [arXiv:mathGT/0405568](https://arxiv.org/abs/mathGT/0405568) (2004).

- [Ah] L. Ahlfors. Invariant operators and integral representations in hyperbolic space. *Math. Scan.* **36**(1975), 27–43.
- [And] M. Anderson. The Dirichlet problem at infinity for manifolds of negative curvature. *J. Diff. Geom.* **18**(1983), 701–721.
- [Brs1] L. Bers. Simultaneous uniformization. *Bull. AMS* **66**(1960), 94–97.
- [Brs2] L. Bers. On boundaries of Teichmüller spaces and on kleinian groups: I. *Annals of Math.* **91**(1970), 570–600.
- [BeFu] M. Bestvina and K. Fujiwara. Bounded cohomology of subgroups of mapping class groups. *Geometry and Topology* **6**(2002), 69–89.
- [Bon1] F. Bonahon. Bouts des variétés hyperboliques de dimension 3. *Annals of Math.* **124**(1986), 71–158.
- [Bon2] F. Bonahon. The geometry of Teichmüller space via geodesic currents. *Invent. math.* **92**(1988), 139–162.
- [Bow] B. Bowditch. Some results on the geometry of convex hulls in manifolds of pinched negative curvature. *Comment. Math. Helv.* **69**(1994), 49–81.
- [BH] M. Bridson and A. Haefliger. *Metric Spaces of Non-Positive Curvature*. Springer-Verlag, 1999.
- [BB] J. Brock and K. Bromberg. On the density of geometrically finite Kleinian groups. *Acta Math.* **192**(2004), 33–93.
- [BBES] J. Brock, K. Bromberg, R. Evans, and J. Souto. Maximal cusps, ending laminations and the classification of Kleinian groups. *In preparation (2008)*.
- [BCM] J. Brock, R. Canary, and Y. Minsky. The classification of Kleinian surface groups, II: the ending lamination conjecture. *Submitted (2004)*.
- [Brm1] K. Bromberg. Projective structures with degenerate holonomy and the Bers density conjecture. *Preprint*, [arXiv:mathGT/0211402](https://arxiv.org/abs/mathGT/0211402) (2002).
- [Brm2] K. Bromberg. Hyperbolic cone manifolds, short geodesics, and Schwarzian derivatives. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **17**(2004), 783–826.
- [Brm3] K. Bromberg. Drilling long geodesics in hyperbolic 3-manifolds. *Preprint* (2006).
- [BS] K. Bromberg and J. Souto. Density of Kleinian groups. *In preparation*.
- [BM] R. Brooks and J. P. Matelski. Collars for Kleinian Groups. *Duke Math. J.* **49**(1982), 163–182.
- [Bus] P. Buser. *Geometry and Spectra of Compact Riemann Surfaces*. Birkhauser Boston, 1992.

- [CG] D. Calegari and D. Gabai. Shrinkwrapping and the taming of hyperbolic 3-manifolds. *J. AMS* **19**(2006), 385–446.
- [Can] R. D. Canary. Ends of hyperbolic 3-manifolds. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **6**(1993), 1–35.
- [CCHS] R. D. Canary, M. Culler, S. Hersonsky, and P. B. Shalen. Approximation by maximal cusps in the boundaries of quasiconformal deformation spaces. *J. Diff. Geom.* **64**(2003), 57–109.
- [CH] R. D. Canary and S. Hersonsky. Ubiquity of geometric finiteness in boundaries of deformation spaces of hyperbolic 3-manifolds. *Amer. J. of Math.* **126**(2004), 1193–1220.
- [CT] J. W. Cannon and W. P. Thurston. Group invariant Peano curves. *Geometry and Topology* **11**(2007), 1315–1355.
- [Dum] D. Dumas. Complex projective structures. In *Handbook of Teichmüller Theory, Volume II*. EMS Publishing House, 2008.
- [Ev] R. Evans. Tameness persists in weakly type-preserving strong limits. *Amer. J. Math.* **126**(2004), 713–737.
- [FLP] A. Fathi, F. Laudenbach, and V. Poénaru. *Travaux de Thurston sur les surfaces*, volume 66-67. Astérisque, 1979.
- [HK1] C. Hodgson and S. Kerckhoff. Rigidity of hyperbolic cone-manifolds and hyperbolic Dehn surgery. *J. Diff. Geom.* **48**(1998), 1–59.
- [HK2] C. Hodgson and S. Kerckhoff. Universal bounds for hyperbolic Dehn surgery. *Ann. Math.* **162**(2005), 367–421.
- [HK3] C. Hodgson and S. Kerckhoff. The shape of hyperbolic Dehn surgery space. *Geometry and Topology* **12**(2008), 1033–1090.
- [IT] Y. Imayoshi and M. Taniguchi. *An Introduction to Teichmüller Spaces*. Springer-Verlag, 1992.
- [KT] Y. Kamishima and Ser P. Tan. Deformation spaces on geometric structures. In Y. Matsumoto and S. Morita, editors, *Aspects of Low Dimensional Manifolds*. Published for Math. Soc. of Japan by Kinokuniya Co., 1992.
- [Koj] S. Kojima. Deformations of hyperbolic 3-cone-manifolds. *J. Differential Geom.* **49**(1998), 469–516.
- [MM] H. Masur and Y. Minsky. Geometry of the complex of curves I: hyperbolicity. *Invent. Math.* **138**(1999), 103–149.
- [Mc1] C. McMullen. Iteration on Teichmüller space. *Invent. math.* **99**(1990), 425–454.

- [Mc2] C. McMullen. Cusps are dense. *Annals of Math.* **133**(1991), 217–247.
- [Mc3] C. McMullen. *Renormalization and 3-Manifolds Which Fiber Over the Circle*. Annals of Math. Studies 142, Princeton University Press, 1996.
- [Min1] Y. Minsky. The classification of punctured torus groups. *Annals of Math.* **149**(1999), 559–626.
- [Min2] Y. Minsky. The classification of Kleinian surface groups I: A priori bounds. *Preprint*, [arXiv:math.GT/0302208](#) (2002).
- [Mum] D. Mumford. A remark on Mahler’s compactness theorem. *Proc. AMS* **28**(1971), 289–294.
- [Neh] Z. Nehari. Schwarzian derivatives and schlicht functions. *Bull. AMS* **55**(1949), 545–551.
- [Ot] J. P. Otal. *Le théorème d’hyperbolisation pour les variétés fibrées de dimension trois*. Astérisque, 1996.
- [Rei] H.M. Reimann. Invariant extension of quasiconformal deformations. *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fen.* **10**(1985), 477–492.
- [Sul] D. Sullivan. On the ergodic theory at infinity of an arbitrary discrete group of hyperbolic motions. In *Riemann Surfaces and Related Topics: Proceedings of the 1978 Stony Brook Conference*. Annals of Math. Studies 97, Princeton, 1981.
- [Th1] W. P. Thurston. *Geometry and Topology of Three-Manifolds*. Princeton lecture notes, 1979.
- [Th2] W. P. Thurston. Hyperbolic structures on 3-manifolds II: Surface groups and 3-manifolds which fiber over the circle. *Preprint*, [arXiv:math.GT/9801045](#) (1986).

BROWN UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF UTAH